JOINT INDUSTRY STANDARD

Solderability Tests for Component Leads, Terminations, Lugs, Terminals and Wires



Supersedes J-STD-002C w/Amendment 1 December 2008





Notice

ECIA, IPC and JEDEC Standards and Publications are designed to serve the public interest through eliminating misunderstandings between manufacturers and purchasers, facilitating interchangeability and improvement of products, and assisting the purchaser in selecting and obtaining with minimum delay the proper product for his particular need. Existence of such Standards and Publications shall not in any respect preclude any member or nonmember of ECIA, IPC or JEDEC from manufacturing or selling products not conforming to such Standards and Publications, nor shall the existence of such Standards and Publications preclude their voluntary use by those other than ECIA, IPC or JEDEC members, whether the standard is to be used either domestically or internationally.

Recommended Standards and Publications are adopted by ECIA, IPC or JEDEC without regard to whether their adoption may involve patents on articles, materials, or processes. By such action, ECIA, IPC or JEDEC do not assume any liability to any patent owner, nor do they assume any obligation whatever to parties adopting the Recommended Standard or Publication. Users are also wholly responsible for protecting themselves against all claims of liabilities for patent infringement. The material in this joint standard was developed by the IPC Components and Wire Solderability Specification Task Group (5-23b) of the Assembly and Joining Processes Committee (5-20), the Electronic Components Industry Association Soldering Technology Committee (STC) and the JEDEC Solid State Technology Association Committee (JC14.1)

For Technical Information Contact:

ElA Standards Electronic Components Industry Association 2214 Rock Hill Rd, Suite 170 Herndon, VA 20170-4212 Phone: (571) 323-0294 Fax: (571) 323-0245 IPC Association Connecting Electronics Industries® 3000 Lakeside Drive, Suite 309S Bannockburn, IL 60015-1249 Phone: (847) 615-7100 Fax: (847) 615-7105

JEDEC Solid State Technology Association 3103 North 10th Street, Suite 240-S Arlington, VA 22201-2107

Phone: (703) 907-7540 Fax: (703) 907-7583

Please use the Standard Improvement Form shown at the end of this document.

©Copyright 2013. EIA, Herndon, Virginia, IPC, Bannockburn, Illinois, and JEDEC, Arlington, Virginia, USA. All rights reserved under both international and Pan-American copyright conventions. *Any copying, scanning or other reproduction of these materials without the prior written consent of the copyright holder is strictly prohibited and constitutes infringement under the Copyright Law of the United States.*







EIA/IPC/JEDEC J-STD-002D

Solderability Tests for Component Leads, Terminations, Lugs, Terminals and Wires

A joint standard developed by IPC Components and Wire Solderability Specification Task Group (5-23b) of the Assembly and Joining Processes Committee (5-20), the Electronic Components Industry Association Soldering Technology Committee (STC) and the JEDEC Solid State Technology Association Committee (JC14.1)

Users of this standard are encouraged to participate in the development of future revisions.

Contact:

ElA Standards Electronic Components Industry Association

2214 Rock Hill Road, Suite 170 Herndon, VA 20170-4212 Phone: (571) 323-0294 Fax: (571) 323-0245

JEDEC Solid State Technology Association

3103 North 10th Street, Suite 240-S Arlington, VA 22201-2107 Phone: (703) 907-7540 Fax: (703) 907-7583

IPC Association Connecting

Electronics Industries® 3000 Lakeside Drive, Suite 309S Bannockburn, IL 60015-1249 Phone: (847) 615-7100 Fax: (847) 615-7105

Supersedes:

J-STD-002C w/Amendment 1 -November 2008 J-STD-002C - December 2007 Amendment 1 - October 2008 J-STD-002B - February 2003 J-STD-002A - October 1998 J-STD-002 - April 1992 This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Acknowledgment

Any document involving a complex technology draws material from a vast number of sources. While the principal members of the IPC Components and Wire Solderability Specification Task Group (5-23b) of the Assembly and Joining Processes Committee (5-20) are shown below, it is not possible to include all of those we assisted in the evolution of this joint industry standard. Additionally, there were many participants from the Electronic Components Industry Association Soldering Technology Committee (STC) and the JEDEC JC-14.1 Reliability Test Methods for Packaged Devices. To each of them, the members and staffs of IPC, ECIA and JEDEC Associations extend their gratitude.

IPC Assembly & Joining Processes Committee

Chair Leo P. Lambert EPTAC Corporation

Vice Chair Renee J. Michalkiewicz Trace Laboratories - Baltimore

JEDEC JC14.1 Reliability Test Methods for Packaged Devices

Chair Ife Hsu Intel Corporation

IPC Component & Wire Solderability EIA Soldering Specification Task Group Technology C

Chair David D. Hillman Rockwell Collins

Vice Chair Dennis Fritz MacDermid, Inc. Technology Committee

Chair Douglas W. Romm Texas Instruments Inc.

IPC Component & Wire Solderability Specification Task Group

Ross Dillman, ACI/EMPF Constantino Gonzalez, ACME Training & Consulting Neil Witkowski, Alcatel-Lucent Bradley Smith, Allegro MicroSystems Inc. Karen Tellefsen, Alpha Andrew Giamis, Andrew Corporation George Wenger, Andrew Corporation Greg Alexander, Ascentech, LLC Fritz Byle, Astronautics Corp. of America Jeffery Kukelhan, BAE Systems **Platform Solutions** Marvin Banks, Ball Aerospace & Technologies Gerald Leslie Bogert, Bechtel Plant Machinery, Inc. Robert Wettermann, BEST Inc. Beverley Christian, BlackBerry Thomas Carroll, Boeing Company Michael Paddack, Boeing Company Mary Bellon, Boeing Research & Development

Michael Jawitz, Boeing Research & Development Todd MacFadden, Bose Corporation Jason Bragg, Celestica Prakash Kapadia, Celestica Louis Hart, Compunctics Inc. Mark Fulcher, Continental Automotive Systems Brian Madsen, Continental Automotive Systems Jose Servin, Continental Temic SA de CV David Corbett, Defense Supply Center Columbus Robert Heber, Defense Supply Center Columbus Lowell Sherman, Defense Supply Center Columbus Glenn Dody, Dody Consulting Michael Toben, Dow Electronic Materials Anne Lomonte, Draeger Medical Systems, Inc. Peter Bratin, ECI Technology, Inc. Michael Pavlov, ECI Technology, Inc.

Karl Wengenroth, Enthone Inc. Yung-Herng Yau, Enthone Inc. Leo Lambert, EPTAC Corporation Thomas Carlstrom, Ericsson AB Gerald Gagnon, Extech Instruments Corporation John Thompson, FCI USA, Inc. Harjinder Ladhar, Flextronics Terry Munson, Foresite, Inc. Paco Solis, Foresite, Inc. Martin Bayes, Four Square Consulting Michael Yuen, Foxconn CMMSG-NVPD Graham Naisbitt, Gen3 Systems Limited Brian Wardhaugh, Gen3 Systems Limited Gregg Klawson, General Dynamics -C4 Systems Donald Gerstle, Google Brian Toleno, Henkel Corporation Richard Davidson, Honeywell Aerospace

EIA/IPC/JEDEC J-STD-002D

Suzanne Nachbor, Honeywell Aerospace Minneapolis Vicka White, Honeywell Inc. Air Transport Systems Fujiang Sun, Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd. Yunhua (Danny) Tu, Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd. James Bielick, IBM Corporation James Maguire, Intel Corporation Mark Kwoka, Intersil Corporation Reza Ghaffarian, Jet Propulsion Laboratory J. Lee Parker, JLP David Scheiner, Kester David Lober, Kyzen Corporation Todd Jarman, L-3 Communications Roger Su, L-3 Communications Vijay Kumar, Lockheed Martin Missile & Fire Control Linda Woody, Lockheed Martin Missile & Fire Control Hue Green, Lockheed Martin Space Systems Company Dennis Fritz, MacDermid, Inc. Francis Anglade, Metronelec Laya (Yan) Chen, Microtek (Changzhou) Laboratories Russell Shepherd, Microtek Laboratories Anaheim Edwin Bradley, Motorola Solutions, Inc. Christopher Hunt, National Physical Laboratory Keith Sweatman, Nihon Superior Co.,

Kil-Won Moon, NIST Maureen Williams, NIST Darrell Freiwald, Northrop Grumman Mahendra Gandhi, Northrop Grumman Aerospace Systems Joseph Sherfick, NSWC Crane Richard Kraszewski, Plexus Corp. Carol Handwerker, Purdue University Bill Beair, Raytheon Company Richard Iodice, Raytheon Company Jeff Seekatz, Raytheon Company Jeff Shubrooks, Raytheon Company Royce Taylor, Raytheon Company Bill Vuono, Raytheon Company Lance Brack, Raytheon Missile Systems Robert Morris, Raytheon Missile Systems Martin Scionti, Raytheon Missile Systems William Russell, Raytheon Professional Services LLC Steven Herrberg, Raytheon Systems Company Christian Klein, Robert Bosch GmbH Jason Koch, Robisan Laboratory Inc. Chris Mahanna, Robisan Laboratory Inc. David Adams, Rockwell Collins DeAnn Gibbs, Rockwell Collins Rachel Grinvalds, Rockwell Collins David Hillman, Rockwell Collins Eddie Hofer, Rockwell Collins Gaston Hidalgo, Samsung **Telecommunications** America

Henry Rekers, Schneider Electric Giovanni Casanova, Schweitzer Engineering Laboratories, Inc. Donald Abbott, Sensata Technologies Gerard O'Brien, Solderability Testing & Solutions, Inc. Stephen Meeks, St. Jude Medical Mel Parrish, STI Electronics, Inc. Christine Blair, STMicroelectronics Inc. William Sepp, Technic Inc. Richard Edgar, Tec-Line Inc. Douglas Romm, Texas Instruments Inc. Shirley He, The 5th Electronic Institute of MII Elizabeth Allison, Trace Laboratories - Baltimore Renee Michalkiewicz, Trace Laboratories - Baltimore Debora Obitz, Trace Laboratories -**Baltimore** John Radman, Trace Laboratories -Denver Calette Chamness, U.S. Army Aviation & Missile Command Jere Wittig, Unknown Address George Milad, Uyemura International Corp. Chris Ball, Valeo Inc. Dale Albright, Winslow Automation aka Six Sigma Russell Winslow, Winslow Automation aka Six Sigma Theodore Edwards

John Rohlfing

Ltd.

Table of Contents

1 P	REFACE
1.1	Scope 1
1.2	Purpose 1
1.2.1	Shall and Should 1
1.3	Method Classification 1
1.3.1	Visual Acceptance Criteria Tests 1
1.3.2	Force Measurement Tests 1
1.4	Coating Durability 2
1.5	Solderability Backwards Compatibility 2
1.6	Referee Verification Solder Dip for Tests A, B, C, A1, B1, and C1
1.7	Limitations 2
1.8	Contractual Agreement 2
1.9	Terms and Definitions 2
2 A	PPLICABLE DOCUMENTS
2.1	Industry
2.1.1	IPC
2.1.2	International Electrotechnical Commission
2.2	Government
2.2.1	Federal
3 H	EQUIREMENTS
2.1.1	Solder 2
2.1.2	Solder
2.1.2	Flux
2.1.2	Water 4
2.1.4	Favipment 4
3.2 2.2.1	Equipment
3.2.1	Pake Preconditioning Apparatus
3.2.2	Datical Inspection Equipment
3.2.3 2.2.4	Dipping Equipment
2.2.4	Timing Equipment
3.2.3 2.2	Propagation for Tasting
3.3	Specimen Preparation and Surface Condition 5
3.3.1	Steam Conditioning
222	Surfaces to be Tested
3.3.3	Solder Both Paquiraments
3.4	Solder Contamination Control
5.4.1	
4 T	EST PROCEDURES 7
4.1	Application of Flux 7
4.2	Visual Acceptance Criteria Tests 7

4.2.1	Test A - and Loo	SnPb Solder – Solder Bath/Dip k Test (Leads, Wires, etc.)
4.2.2	Test B - and Loo	SnPb Solder – Solder Bath/Dip k Test (Leadless Components)
4.2.3	Test C - Test (Lu Wires) .	SnPb Solder – Wrapped Wires gs, Tabs, Terminals, Large Stranded
4.2.4	Test D - Resistan Metalliza	SnPb or Pb-free Solder – ce to Dissolution of ation Test 12
4.2.5	Test S - Mount P	SnPb Solder – Surface Process Simulation Test
4.2.6	Test A1 and Loo	- Pb-free Solder – Solder Bath/Dip k Test (Leads, Wires, etc.)
4.2.7	Test B1 and Loo	- Pb-free Solder – Solder Bath/Dip k Test (Leadless Components)
4.2.8	Test C1 Test (Lu Wires).	- Pb-free Solder – Wrapped Wires gs, Tabs, Terminals, Large Stranded
4.2.9	Test S1 Process	- Pb-free Solder – Surface Mount Simulation Test17
4.3	Force M	easurement Tests
4.3.1	Test E - Solder P	SnPb Solder – Wetting Balance ot Test (Leaded Components)
4.3.2	Test F -	SnPb Solder – Wetting Balance
4.3.3	Test G - Globule	SnPb Solder – Wetting Balance Test
4.3.4	Test E1 Solder P	- Pb-free Solder – Wetting Balance ot Test (Leaded Components) 24
4.3.5	Test F1 Solder P	- Pb-free Solder – Wetting Balance ot Test (Leadless Components)
4.3.6	Test G1 Globule	- Pb-free Solder – Wetting Balance Test
5 N	IOTES	27
51	Use of A	Activated Flux 27
5.2	Massive	Components
5.3	Samplin	g Plans
5.4	Correctio	on for Buoyancy
5.5	Precondi	itioning Limitations
APPE	NDIX A	Critical Component Surfaces
APPE	NDIX B	Evaluation Aids
APPE	NDIX C	Calculation of Maximum Theoretical Force
APPE	NDIX D	Calculation of Integrated Value of Area of the Wetting Curve

SINGLE USER LICENSE - NOT FOR USE ON A NETWORK OR ONLINE

EIA/IPC/JEDEC J-STD-002D

APPENDIX E	Informative Annex 46
APPENDIX F	J-STD-002/J-STD-003 Activated Solderability Test Flux Rationale Committee Letter
APPENDIX G	Graphical Representations: Progression of Solder Wetting Curve Parameters As Measured By Wetting Balance Testing
APPENDIX H	Test Protocol for Wetting Balance Gauge Repeatability andReproducibility (GR&R) Using Copper Foil Coupons

Figures

Figure 3-1	Example Reticle 5
Figure 4-1	Dipping Schematic 8
Figure 4-2	Solder Dipping Angle for Surface Mount Leaded Components
Figure 4-3	Solder Dipping Depth for Through-Hole Components
Figure 4-4	Leadless Component Immersion Depth 10
Figure 4-5	Illustration of Acceptable Solderable Terminal
Figure 4-6	Illustration of Unsolderable Terminal 11
Figure 4-7	Illustration of Acceptable Solderable Stranded Wire 11
Figure 4-8	Illustration of Partially Solderable Stranded Wire Showing Incomplete Fillet
Figure 4-9	Wetting Balance Apparatus 19
Figure 4-10	Set A Wetting Curve 21
Figure 4-11	Set B Wetting Curve 21
Figure 4-12	Component and Dipping Angle (Directly from IEC 60068-2-69)
Figure A-1	"J" Leaded Components 29
Figure A-2	Passive Components 30
Figure A-3	Gull Wing Components 31
Figure A-4	Leadless Chip Carrier 32
Figure A-5	"L" Leaded Component 33
Figure A-6	Exposed Pad Package 34
Figure A-7	Bottom-Only Termination Component
Figure A-8	Area Array Component Critical Surface 35
Figure A-9	Through-Hole Components - Flat Pin

Figure A-10	Through-Hole Components - Round Pin 37
Figure B-1	Defect Size Aid 38
Figure B-2	Types of Solderability Defects 39
Figure B-3	Aids in Evaluation of 5% Allowable Area of Pin Holes 40
Figure B-4	Aid in Evaluation of 5% Allowable Area of Pin Holes 41
Figure B-5	Solderability Coverage Guide 42
Figure C-1	Lead Periphery and Volume for a 132 I/O PQFP 43

June 2013

Tables

Table 3-1	Flux Compositions 4
Table 3-2	Steam Temperature Requirements 5
Table 3-3	Preconditioning Parameters for Solderability Testing
Table 3-4	Maximum Limits of Solder Bath Contaminant 7
Table 4-1	Test A Solderability Testing Parameters
Table 4-2	Test B Solderability Testing Parameters 10
Table 4-3	Test C Solderability Testing Parameters 11
Table 4-4	Test D Solderability Testing Parameters 13
Table 4-5	Stencil Thickness Requirements 13
Table 4-6	Reflow Parameter Requirements 14
Table 4-7	Test S Solderability Testing Parameters 14
Table 4-8	Test A1 Solderability Testing Parameters 15
Table 4-9	Test B1 Solderability Testing Parameters 16
Table 4-10	Test C1 Solderability Testing Parameters 17
Table 4-11	Stencil Thickness Requirements 18
Table 4-12	Pb-free Reflow Parameter Requirements 18
Table 4-13	Test S1 Solderability Testing Parameters 18
Table 4-14	Wetting Balance Parameter and Suggested Evaluation Criteria
Table 4-15	Dipping Angle and Immersion Depth for Components (Directly from IEC 60068-2-69) 23
Table 4-16	Wetting Parameters and Suggested Evaluation Criteria 24
Table 3-1	Flux Compositions 47

Solderability Tests for Component Leads, Terminations, Lugs, Terminals and Wires

1 PREFACE

1.1 Scope This standard prescribes test methods, defect definitions, acceptance criteria, and illustrations for assessing the solderability of electronic component leads, terminations, solid wires, stranded wires, lugs, and tabs. This standard also includes a test method for the resistance to dissolution/dewetting of metallization. This standard is intended for use by both vendor and user.

1.2 Purpose Solderability evaluations are made to verify that the solderability of component leads and terminations meets the requirements established in this standard and to determine that storage has had no adverse effect on the ability to solder components to an interconnecting substrate. Determination of solderability can be made at the time of manufacture, at receipt of the components by the user, or just before assembly and soldering.

The resistance to dissolution of metallization determination is made to verify that metallized terminations will remain intact throughout the assembly soldering processes.

In the case of a discrepancy, the description or written criteria always takes precedence over the illustrations.

1.2.1 Shall and Should The words **"shall"** or **"shall not"** are used in the text of this document wherever there is a requirement for materials, preparation, process control, or acceptance of a soldered connection or a test method. The word "should" reflects recommendations and is used to reflect general industry practices and procedures for guidance only.

Other documents to extent specified by the customer.

1.3 Method Classification This standard describes methods by which component leads or terminations may be evaluated for solderability. Any one of the following test methods - Test A, Test B, Test C, Test D, and Test S - may be used for SnPb solder processes and any one of the following test methods - Test A1, Test B1, Test C1, Test D, and Test S1 - may be used for Pb-free solder processes and are to be used for each application as a default unless otherwise AABUS.

1.3.1 Visual Acceptance Criteria Tests

- Test A Solder Bath/Dip and Look Test (Leaded Components and Stranded Wires) SnPb Solder (4.2.1)
- Test B Solder Bath/Dip and Look Test (Leadless Components) SnPb Solder (4.2.2)
- Test C Wrapped Wires Test (Lugs, Tabs, Hooked Leads, and Turrets) SnPb Solder (4.2.3)
- Test D Resistance to Dissolution/Dewetting of Metallization Test SnPb Solder and Pb-free Solder (4.2.4)
- Test S Surface Mount Process Simulation Test SnPb Solder (4.2.5)
- Test A1 Solder Bath/Dip and Look Test (Leaded Components and Stranded Wires) Pb-free Solder (4.2.6)
- Test B1 Solder Bath/Dip and Look Test (Leadless Components) Pb-free Solder (4.2.7)
- Test C1 Wrapped Wires Test (Lugs, Tabs, Hooked Leads, and Turrets) Pb-free Solder (4.2.8)
- Test S1 Surface Mount Process Simulation Test Pb-free Solder (4.2.9)

1.3.2 Force Measurement Tests

- Test E Wetting Balance Solder Pot Test (Leaded Components) SnPb Solder (4.3.1)
- Test F Wetting Balance Solder Pot Test (Leadless Components) SnPb Solder (4.3.2)
- Test G Wetting Balance Globule Test SnPb Solder (4.3.3)
- Test E1 Wetting Balance Solder Pot Test (Leaded Components) Pb-free Solder (4.3.4)
- Test F1 Wetting Balance Solder Pot Test (Leadless Components) Pb-free Solder (4.3.5)
- Test G1 Wetting Balance Globule Test Pb-free Solder (4.3.6)

These methods (1.3.2) are included for evaluation purposes only. Data collected should be submitted to the IPC Wetting Balance Task Group for correlation and analysis. Tests E, F, G, E1, F1 and G1 **shall not** be used for acceptance/rejection without user and vendor agreement.

1.4 Coating Durability The following are guidelines, not specification requirements, for determining the needed level of preconditioning category assurance (see Table 3-3). The coating durability guidelines describe two broad usage sectors and are not intended to encompass all possible product use scenarios. The user and vendor need to agree on the coating durability requirements. Coating Durability Category 2 is the default condition for all component finishes.

Category 1 – Minimum Coating Durability Intended for surfaces that will be soldered within a short period of time (e.g., up to six months) from the time of testing and are likely to experience a minimum of thermal exposures before soldering. No preconditioning category per Table 3-3.

Category 2 – Typical Coating Durability Intended for surfaces whose solderability may become degraded from storage of longer than six months or from multiple thermal exposures. This is preconditioning Category E per Table 3-3.

1.5 Solderability Backwards Compatibility Typically Pb containing terminations are evaluated using SnPb solderability test conditions and Pb-free terminations use Pb-free test conditions. If Pb-free terminations are to be used in a SnPb solder process (backward compatibility) then they should be evaluated at 215°C using test parameters consistent with standard SnPb solder conditions. The backward compatibility test does not apply to Pb-free BGA type packages.

1.6 Referee Verification Solder Dip for Tests A, B, C, A1, B1, and C1 When the dipped portion of the termination exhibits anomalies such as surface roughness, dross, or anomalies that may have been induced by improper solder dipping, a referee verification solder dip of the suspect anomaly may be necessary. Upon reinspection, if the suspect anomaly has been removed, the anomaly will have been verified as a non-rejectable cosmetic surface defect. If the anomaly persists, regardless of area it **shall** be classified a rejectable solderability defect. This procedure may only be used on one component per lot. Continuous need of Referee Verification Solder Dip procedure is an indication of either improper testing procedure, examination interpretation, or of poor component quality.

1.7 Limitations This standard **shall not** be construed as a production procedure for the pre-tinning of leads and terminations. Solderability testing of components is considered a destructive test and the tested component should not be used for functional electrical evaluation. Components after such solderability testing **shall** only be used AABUS.

1.8 Contractual Agreement In cases where the stated test parameters are inappropriate or insufficient, alternative parameters may be AABUS.

1.9 Terms and Definitions Terms repeated from IPC-T-50 are indicated by an asterisk (*).

AABUS Abbreviation for "as agreed by user and supplier."

*Dewetting** A condition that results when molten solder coats a surface and then recedes to leave irregularly-shaped mounds of solder that are separated by areas that are covered with a thin film of solder and with the basis metal not exposed.

Dissolution of Component Metallization (Leaching) The loss or removal of metallization from an area on the basis or substrate material after immersion in molten solder.

Equilibrium Wetting The degree of wetting in which the forces of wetting are in balance with the forces of gravity.

*Nonwetting, Solder** The inability of molten solder to form a metallic bond with the basis metal.

Pinhole* An imperfection in the form of a small hole that penetrates through a layer of material.

Solderability* The ability of a metal to be wetted by molten solder.

*Solder Connection Pinhole** A small hole that penetrates from the surface of a solder connection to a void of indeterminate size within the solder connection.

Wetting, Solder* The formation of a relatively uniform, smooth, unbroken, and adherent film of solder to a base metal.

2 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS

The following documents of the issue currently in effect form a part of this standard to the extent specified herein.

2.1 Industry

2.1.1 IPC¹

IPC-T-50 Terms and Definitions

J-STD-004 Requirements for Soldering Fluxes

J-STD-005 Requirements for Soldering Pastes

J-STD-006 Requirements for Electronic Grade Solder Alloys and Fluxed and Non-Fluxed Solid Solder for Electronic Soldering Applications

IPC-TR-464 Accelerated Aging for Solderability Evaluations and Addendum

IPC-TM-650 Test Methods Manual²

2.1.2 International Electrotechnical Commission³

IEC 60068-2-69 Environmental testing - Part 2-69: Tests - Test Te: Solderability testing of electronic components for surface mounting devices (SMD) by the wetting balance method

2.2 Government⁴

2.2.1 Federal⁵

(CID) A-A-59551 Wires, Electrical, Copper (Uninsulated)

3 REQUIREMENTS

3.1 Materials All chemicals **shall** be of commercial grade or better. Fresh solvents **shall** be used as often as is necessary to preclude contamination.

3.1.1 Solder For SnPb testing, the solder composition **shall** be Sn60Pb40 or Sn63Pb37 per J-STD-006. The composition of the solder, including contamination levels, **shall** be maintained during testing per 3.4.1.

For Pb-free testing, the solder composition **shall** be Sn96.5Ag3.0Cu0.5 (SAC305), allowing variation of the Ag content between 3.0-4.0 wt% and Cu content between 0.5-1.0 wt% with the balance being Sn per J-STD-006. If no Pb-free solder alloy is specified, the default solder composition **shall** be Sn96.5Ag3.0Cu0.5 (SAC305) per J-STD-006. Other Pb-free solder alloys may be used AABUS.

The composition of the SnPb solder paste to be used in Test S **shall** be Sn60Pb40 or Sn63Pb37 for SnPb per J-STD-005, flux type ROL1. The solder paste **shall** meet the storage and shelf life requirements of the manufacturer's specification.

The composition of the Pb-free solder paste to be used in Test S1 **shall** be Sn96.5Ag3.0Cu0.5 (SAC305), allowing variation of the Ag content between 3.0 - 4.0 wt% and Cu content between 0.5 - 1.0 wt% with the balance being Sn per J-STD-005. If no Pb-free solder paste is specified, the default composition **shall** be Sn96.5Ag3.0Cu0.5 (SAC305) per J-STD-005, flux type to be AABUS. Other Pb-free paste solder alloys may be used AABUS. The solder paste **shall** meet the storage and shelf life requirements of the manufacturer's specification.

3.1.2 Flux The flux for SnPb solderability tests **shall** be a standard activated rosin flux #1 having a composition of 25% \pm 0.5% by weight of colophony and 0.15% \pm 0.01% by weight diethylammonium hydrochloride (CAS 660-68-4), in 74.85% \pm 0.5% by weight of isopropyl alcohol (see Table 3-1).

The flux for Pb-free solderability tests **shall** be standard activated rosin flux #2 having a composition of $25\% \pm 0.5\%$ by weight of colophony and $0.39\% \pm 0.01\%$ by weight diethylammonium hydrochloride (CAS 660-68-4), in 74.61\% \pm 0.5\% by weight of isopropyl alcohol (see Table 3-1).

3. www.iec.ch

^{1.} www.ipc.org

^{2.} Current and revised IPC Test Methods are available on the IPC Web site (www.ipc.org/html/testmethods.htm)

^{4.} apps.fas.gsa.gov

^{5.} http://astimage.daps.dla.mil/quicksearch/

EIA/IPC/JEDEC J-STD-002D

	Composition by Weight Percent		
Constituent	Flux #1	Flux #2	
Colophony	25 ± 0.5	25 ± 0.5	
Diethylammonium Hydrochloride (CAS 660-68-4)	0.15 ± 0.01	0.39 ± 0.01	
Isopropyl Alcohol (IPA) (CAS 67-63-0)	Balance	Balance	
Weight of Chlorine as maximum % of Solids	0.2	0.5	

Table 3-1 Flux Compositions

Appendix E.2 provides possible flux product sources; this list is subject to change. Appendix F provides information about this flux.

The flux to be used in preparing the standard copper wrapping wires (see 3.1.3) for tests C and C1 shall be standard flux #2.

3.1.2.1 Flux Maintenance Standard activated rosin fluxes #1 and #2 **shall** be covered during breaks in testing (e.g., lunch) and discarded after eight hours.

3.1.3 Standard Copper Wrapping Wires The standard wrapping wires specified in 4.2.3.2 **shall** be fabricated from type S, soft or drawn and annealed, uncoated in accordance with (CID) A-A-59551 and prepared per the following process.

The nominal diameter of the wrapping wires **shall** be 0.6 mm [0.023 in]. The preparation of the wrapping wires **shall** be as follows:

- a. Straighten and cut wires into convenient lengths (50 mm [1.9 in] minimum).
- b. Degrease by immersion in an appropriate cleaner (e.g., isopropyl alcohol) for two minutes.
- c. Clean in fluoroboric acid 10% HBF4 (by volume), in water, for five minutes at room temperature with agitation. Use caution in handling.
- d. Rinse acid off as follows:
 - 1. Two non-heated water rinses (deionized or distilled).
 - 2. Two isopropyl alcohol rinses.
 - 3. Air dry.
- e. Immerse in standard flux #2.
- f. Dip in molten solder for five seconds at 245 ± 5°C [473 ± 9°F] for SnPb solder alloy. Dip in molten solder for five seconds at 255 ± 5°C [491± 9°F] for Pb-free solder alloy.
- g. Remove all visible flux residues.

Standard wrapping wires will be stored in a clean, covered container if not used immediately. The usable life of the standard wrapping wires **shall not** exceed 30 days after coating.

3.1.4 Water The water to be used for steam conditioning purposes **shall** be distilled or deionized.

3.2 Equipment The following equipment applies to more than one of the solderability test methods shown in this standard. Equipment that is specific to any of the test methods is described in the specific section 4 paragraphs detailing the method.

3.2.1 Steam Preconditioning Apparatus The steam conditioning chamber **shall** be constructed of non-corrodible materials such as borosilicate glass, quartz glass, stainless steel, or PTFE. The specimen holder **shall** be non-reactive to prevent galvanic corrosion. The container should be insulated. The steam temperature at the conditioning level **shall** be maintained per the requirements of Table 3-2.

A safe means to prevent excessive pressure and a means of maintaining adequate water level **shall** be provided. Neither **shall** cause the vapor to cool below the specified temperature. Condensate **shall** drip freely back to the water. Care should be taken to minimize contact between the condensate and the specimens.

3.2.2 Bake Preconditioning Apparatus A bake oven of sufficient size and capable of continuously maintaining $155^{\circ}C \pm 5^{\circ}C$ temperature control **shall** be used. If the bake oven is used for the curing of adhesives, due diligence **shall** be conducted to insure cross contamination of the test specimens **shall not** occur.

Altitude	Average Local Boiling Point °C	Steam Temperature Limits °C
0-305 m	100	93 ± 3
305-610 m	99	92 ± 3
610-914 m	98	91 ± 3
914-1219 m	97	90 ± 3
1219-1524 m	96	89 ± 3
1524-1829 m	95	88 ± 3

 Table 3-2
 Steam Temperature Requirements

3.2.3 Optical Inspection Equipment All test methods requiring visual inspection **shall** use microscope(s) capable of 10X magnification (see individual test methods), equipped with reticles, or equivalent, for measurement. An example of a reticle is shown in Figure 3-1. Shadowless lighting (i.e., uniform, nonglare, nondirectional) **shall** be suitable for proper inspection.



Figure 3-1 Example Reticle

3.2.3.1 Referee Magnification Referee magnification **shall** be 30X. For fine pitch leaded parts (0.5 mm [0.020 in] pitch or less) the referee magnification **shall** be 70X. Referee conditions **shall** only be used to accept a product that has been rejected at the inspection magnification.

3.2.4 Dipping Equipment Solder dipping devices **shall** be mechanical/electromechanical and capable of controlling the immersion (rates), dwell time and immersion depth as specified in 4.2.1 to 4.2.9. Sample holding fixtures **shall** be designed to avoid trapping any excess flux in the fixture and to minimize heat loss and assure reproducibility of test results.

3.2.5 Timing Equipment Timing equipment **shall** be automated, where applicable, and accurate to the limits of the test method.

3.3 Preparation for Testing

3.3.1 Specimen Preparation and Surface Condition All component leads or terminations **shall** be tested in the condition that they would normally be in at the time of assembly soldering. The specimen surfaces to be tested **shall** be handled in such a manner as to not cause contamination, nor **shall** the leads or terminations being tested be wiped, cleaned, scraped, or abraded.

Special preparation of leads or terminations, such as bending, **shall** be specified in the applicable procurement document. Lead orientation for testing is specified in each test method. If the insulation on stranded wire must be removed, it **shall** be done in a manner so as not to loosen or damage the individual strands of the wires.

3.3.1.1 Preconditioning Categories The user **shall** specify to the vendor, as part of the purchase agreement, the required coating durability (see 1.4) and preconditioning category per Table 3-3.

Condition Category	Precondition Type	Exposure Parameters	Use Recommendation	Applicability by Finish
A	Steam	1 Hour ± 5 min.	Optional Legacy	Non-tin Containing Finishes
В		4 Hours ± 10 min.	Optional Legacy	All Finishes
С		8 Hours ± 15 min.	Optional Legacy	Non-tin Containing Finishes
D		16 Hours ± 30 min.	Optional Legacy	All Finishes
E	155°C Dry Bake	4 Hours ± 15 min.	Default Condition	All Finishes

Table 3-3 Preconditioning Parameters for Solderability Testing

3.3.2 Steam Conditioning Before the application of flux and subsequent solderability testing, all specimens designated Condition Category A thru D **shall** be conditioned in the device and under the conditions described in 3.3.1 at a steam temperature which is 7° C [12.6°F] below the local boiling point (see Table 3-2).

All components to be tested **shall** be placed into the steam conditioning chamber such that no specimens have their leads or terminations touching, and that condensation forming will drain away from the lead or terminations to the package body (e.g., "Dead Bug" for dual-inline packages).

Specimens **shall not** be stacked in a manner which restricts their surface exposure to steam nor **shall** they be placed closer than 10 mm [0.39 in] from the outer chamber walls, and **shall not** touch the inner container walls. In addition, no portion of the specimen **shall** be less than 40 mm [1.57 in] above the water level.

3.3.2.1 Post Steam Conditioning Drying After steam conditioning is complete, specimens **shall** be immediately removed from the chamber and dried using ambient air for 15 minutes minimum. Drying may be accomplished by baking at 100°C [212°F] maximum for 1 hour maximum in a dry nitrogen atmosphere AABUS. Solderability testing **shall** be performed within 72 hours of removal from the chamber.

3.3.2.2 Steam Equipment Maintenance Before use, the steam conditioning apparatus **shall** have been cleaned with deionized or distilled water or hydrogen peroxide to remove any accumulated residues. This cleaning should be accomplished within five working days of the conditioning period.

3.3.3 Surfaces to be Tested The critical areas of leads or terminations intended to be soldered **shall** be evaluated for solderability per the test method (see Appendix A). This **shall** include both the bottom termination and castellation on chip carriers and on all surfaces intended to be soldered on discrete devices. Through-hole leads that are tested by Method A **shall** have a 25 mm [0.98 in] portion, or the whole lead if less than 25 mm [0.98 in], evaluated for solderability (see 4.2.1.6).

Surfaces to be tested by Method D shall be completely immersed in molten solder during dipping (see 4.2.4).

3.4 Solder Bath Requirements

3.4.1 Solder Contamination Control The solder in solder baths used for solderability testing **shall** be chemically or spectrographically analyzed or replaced each 30 operating days. The levels of contamination and Sn content must be within those shown in Table 3-4. The intervals between analysis may be lengthened if the test results indicate that the contamination limits are not being approached. The composition of the Pb-free solder, including contamination levels, **shall** be maintained during testing with the silver and copper element levels adjusted for alloy requirements.

Note: An operating day consists of any eight-hour period, or any portion thereof, during which the solder is liquefied and used.

If contamination exceeds the limits specified in Table 3-4, then the solder **shall** be changed and the intervals between analyses **shall** be shortened. A sampling plan **shall** be developed, implemented, and documented, demonstrating solder contamination limit process control.

Contaminant	Maximum Contaminant Weight Percentage Limit SnPb Alloys ^(1,2)	Maximum Contamination Weight Percentage Limit Pb-free Alloys ^(3, 4)
Copper	0.300	1.000
Gold	0.200	0.200
Cadmium	0.005	0.005
Zinc	0.005	0.005
Aluminum	0.006	0.006
Antimony	0.500	0.500
Iron	0.020	0.020
Arsenic	0.030	0.030
Bismuth	0.250	0.250
Silver	0.100	4.000
Nickel	0.010	0.010
Lead	N/A	0.100

Table 3-4 Maximum Limits of Solder Bath Contaminant

Notes:

1. The tin content of the solder **shall** be maintained within ± 1% of the nominal alloy being used. Tin content **shall** be tested at the same frequency as testing for copper/gold contamination. The balance of the bath **shall** be lead and/or the items listed above.

2. The total of copper, gold, cadmium, zinc, and aluminum contaminants shall not exceed 0.4%. Not applicable to Pb-free alloys.

3. The tin content of the solder **shall** be maintained within ± 1% of the nominal alloy being used. Tin content **shall** be tested at the same frequency as testing for copper/silver concentration. The balance of the bath **shall** be the items listed above.

4. Maximum contamination limits are applicable for Sn96.5Ag3.0Cu0.5 (SAC305) per J-STD-006. Other Pb-free solder alloy contamination limits may be used AABUS.

4 TEST PROCEDURES

4.1 Application of Flux Flux per 3.1.2 **shall** be used. Leads and terminations **shall** have flux applied uniformly and to cover the surfaces to be tested. The flux **shall** be at room temperature. This section (4.1) **shall** apply to all of the following tests: A, B, C, D, E, F, G, A1, B1, C1, E1, F1 and G1 but **shall not** apply to both tests S and S1, which require the use of solder paste and not a separate flux.

Axial, radial, and multiple leaded components intended for through-hole mounting **shall** have their leads immersed into the flux approximately perpendicular to the flux surface. Leaded or leadless components intended for surface mounting **shall** have their leads or terminations immersed at an angle between 20° and 45° to the flux surface. The surfaces to be tested **shall** be immersed in the flux for 5-10 seconds. Any droplets of flux that may form **shall** be removed by blotting, taking care not to remove the flux coating from the surfaces to be tested. For small passive surface mount devices, the flux droplets may be (but are not required to be) blotted from the surface. The specimens being tested **shall** be allowed to dry for 5-20 seconds before solder immersion, but **shall not** be allowed to dwell above solder-pot (no preheat) before actual dipping action.

4.2 Visual Acceptance Criteria Tests

4.2.1 Test A - SnPb Solder – Solder Bath/Dip and Look Test (Leads, Wires, etc.) This test is for solder bath/dip and look testing of leaded components, solid wires, and stranded wires greater than 0.254 mm [0.01 in] minimum.

4.2.1.1 Apparatus

4.2.1.1.1 Solder Pot/Bath A thermostatically controlled static solder vessel **shall** be used for all applicable tests. The solder vessel **shall** be of adequate dimensions to accommodate the specimens and contain sufficient solder to maintain the solder temperature during testing, and to prevent exceeding the contamination levels (see 3.4.1). A minimum of 750 grams of solder should be used.

4.2.1.1.2 Dipping Device A mechanical or electromechanical dipping device similar to the device shown in Figure 4-1 **shall** be used unless AABUS. The rate of immersion, dwell time, and rate of withdrawal **shall** be within the test limits defined in 4.2.1.4. Perpendicularity of through-hole component leads to solder surface **shall** be maintained. Leaded surface mount components **shall** be immersed at between 20° and 45° (or 90° or AABUS) to the solder surface (see Figure 4-2). This angle **shall** remain consistent for any given component type. Wobble, vibration, and other extraneous movements **shall** be minimized.

EIA/IPC/JEDEC J-STD-002D



Figure 4-1 Dipping Schematic



Figure 4-2 Solder Dipping Angle for Surface Mount Leaded Components

4.2.1.2 Preparation Specimen preparation and preconditioning for testing **shall** be in accordance with 3.3.

4.2.1.3 Test Parameters Test A solderability testing parameters are listed in Table 4-1.

Table 4-1	Test A	Solderability	Testing	Parameters
	1001 A	Condorability	100tillig	i ulullotolo

Solder Process	Wave Soldering	Reflow Soldering	Pb-Free Soldering Backward Compatibility
Solder Type		SnPb per 3.1.1	
Flux Type	Standard Flux #1 per 3.1.2		
Flux Immersion Time	5 to 10 seconds		
Flux Immersion Angle	90° Nominal	20° - 45°	20° - 45°
Solder Temperature	245 ± 5°C [473 ± 9°F]	245 ± 5°C [473 ± 9°F]	215 ± 5°C [419 ± 9°F]
Solder Immersion Time	5 +0/-0.5 seconds	5 +0/-0.5 seconds	5 +0/-0.5 seconds
Solder Immersion / Emersion Rate	25	± 6 mm/sec [0.984 ± 0.24 in/sec	ec]

4.2.1.4 Procedure

- a. Dross and burned flux shall be skimmed from the surface of the molten solder immediately before dipping.
- b. The fluxed specimen **shall** be immersed in the molten solder to within 1.25 mm [0.049 in] of the component body or to the seating plane (whichever is further from the component body) for through-hole leaded components (see Figure 4-3).
- c. Immerse and withdraw at $25 \pm 6 \text{ mm} [0.984 \pm 0.24 \text{ in}]$ per second and dwell for 5 + 0/-0.5 seconds (see 5.2).
- d. After withdrawal, the solder **shall** be allowed to solidify by air cooling while the specimen is maintained in the test attitude.
- e. Before examination, all leads **shall** have all visible flux residues removed. The cleaned surface **shall** exhibit no mechanical damage.



Figure 4-3 Solder Dipping Depth for Through-Hole Components

4.2.1.5 Evaluation

4.2.1.5.1 Magnification Parts **shall** be examined at 10X using the equipment specified in 3.2.3. For fine pitch leaded parts (0.5 mm [0.019 in] pitch or less) the inspection magnification **shall** be 30X.

4.2.1.5.2 Accept/Reject Criteria All leads shall exhibit a continuous solder coating free from defects for a minimum of 95% of the critical area of any individual lead. For exposed pad packages the exposed pad surfaces shall exhibit a continuous solder coating free from defects for a minimum of 80% of the critical area of those surfaces. Anomalies other than dewetting, nonwetting, and pin holes are not cause for rejection (see Appendices A and B). Exposed terminal metal is allowable on surface mount components at the toe end and on the vertical surfaces that are either unplated or sheared during component fabrication.

4.2.2 Test B - SnPb Solder – Solder Bath/Dip and Look Test (Leadless Components) This test is for solder bath/dip and look testing of leadless components.

4.2.2.1 Apparatus

4.2.2.1.1 Solder Pot/Bath A thermostatically controlled static solder vessel **shall** be used for all applicable tests. The solder vessel **shall** be of adequate dimensions to accommodate the specimens and contain sufficient solder to maintain the solder temperature during testing, and to prevent exceeding the contamination levels (see 3.4.1). A minimum of 750 grams of solder should be used.

4.2.2.1.2 Dipping Device A mechanical or electromechanical dipping device similar to the device shown in Figure 4-1 **shall** be used or AABUS. The rate of immersion, dwell time, and rate of withdrawal **shall** be within the test limits defined in 4.2.2.3. Surface mount leadless components **shall** be immersed at an angle to the solder surface of $20^{\circ} - 45^{\circ}$ and 90° for discrete chip components and exposed pad packages. Other immersion angles may be used AABUS.

4.2.2.2 Preparation Specimen preparation and conditioning for testing **shall** be in accordance with 3.3.

4.2.2.3 Test Parameters Test B solderability testing parameters are listed in Table 4-2.

EIA/IPC/JEDEC J-STD-002D

Solder Process	Wave Soldering	Reflow Soldering	Pb-Free Soldering Backward Compatibility
Solder Type	SnPb per 3.1.1		
Flux Type	Standard Flux #1 per 3.1.2		
Flux Immersion Time	5 to 10 seconds		
Flux Immersion Angle	90° Nominal 20° - 45° 20° - 45°		
Solder Temperature	245 ± 5°C [473 ± 9°F] 245 ± 5°C [473 ± 9°F] 215 ± 5°C [419 ± 9°F]		
Solder Immersion Time	5 +0/-0.5 seconds	5 +0/-0.5 seconds	5 +0/-0.5 seconds
Solder Immersion / Emersion Rate	25 ± 6 mm/sec [0.984 ± 0.24 in/sec]		

Table 4-2	Test B	Solderability	Testing	Parameters
-----------	--------	---------------	---------	------------

4.2.2.4 Procedure

- a. Dross and burned flux shall be skimmed from the surface of the molten solder immediately before dipping.
- b. The fluxed specimen **shall** be immersed in the molten solder 0.10 mm [0.0039 in] minimum (see Figure 4-4). Immerse and withdraw at 25 ± 6 mm [0.984 \pm 0.24 in] per second and dwell for 5 +0/-0.5 seconds. Massive components may require a longer molten solder dwell time (see 5.2).
- c. After withdrawal, the solder **shall** be allowed to solidify by air cooling while the specimen is maintained in the test attitude.
- d. Before examination, all terminations **shall** have all visible flux residues removed. The cleaned surface **shall** exhibit no mechanical damage.



Figure 4-4 Leadless Component Immersion Depth

4.2.2.5 Evaluation

4.2.2.5.1 Magnification Parts **shall** be examined at 10X using the equipment specified in 3.3.3. For fine pitch termination parts (0.5 mm [0.020 in] pitch or less) the inspection magnification **shall** be 30X.

4.2.2.5.2 Accept/Reject Criteria All terminations shall exhibit a continuous solder coating free from defects for a minimum of 95% of the critical area of any individual termination. For exposed pad packages, the exposed pad surfaces shall exhibit a continuous solder coating free from defects for a minimum of 80% of the critical area of those surfaces. Anomalies other than dewetting, nonwetting, and pin holes are not cause for rejection (see Appendices A and B). Exposed terminal metal is allowable on surface mount components at the toe end and on the vertical surfaces that are either unplated or sheared during component fabrication.

4.2.3 Test C - SnPb Solder – Wrapped Wires Test (Lugs, Tabs, Terminals, Large Stranded Wires) This test is for wrapped wires testing of lugs, tabs, terminals, stranded wires greater than 1.016 mm [0.040 in] diameter, and solid wires greater than 1.143 mm [0.045 in] diameter.

4.2.3.1 Apparatus

4.2.3.1.1 Solder Pot/Bath A thermostatically controlled static solder vessel **shall** be used for all applicable tests. The solder vessel **shall** be of adequate dimensions to accommodate the specimens and contain sufficient solder to maintain the solder temperature during testing, and to prevent exceeding the contamination levels (see 3.4.1). A minimum of 750 grams of solder should be used.

4.2.3.1.2 Dipping Device A mechanical or electromechanical dipping device similar to the device shown in Figure 4-1 **shall** be used AABUS. The rate of immersion, dwell time, and rate of withdrawal **shall** be within the test limits defined in 4.2.3.3. Wobble, vibration, and other extraneous movements **shall** be minimized.

4.2.3.2 Preparation Specimen preparation shall be in accordance with 3.3.

- a. For application of standard solderable wires for lugs, tabs, terminals, stranded wires greater than 1.016 mm [0.040 in] diameter, and solid wires greater than 1.143 mm [0.045 in] diameter all specimens **shall** have a wrap of 1.5 turns of the standard wires around the portion of the specimen to be tested.
- b. The standard wrapping wires as described in 3.2.3 **shall** be wrapped in such a manner so that it will not move during the solder dip. Examples of this wrap are shown in Figures 4-5 through 4-8.
- c. Special instructions concerning the portion of the specimens to be wrapped **shall** be specified in the individual specification, if necessary.
- d. For lugs and tabs designed to accept wires smaller than 0.6 mm [0.024 in] diameter, the standard copper wrapping wires specified in 3.2.3 **shall** be the same size for which the lugs and tabs are designed.

4.2.3.3 Test Parameters Test C solderability testing parameters are listed in Table 4-3.



Figure 4-5 Illustration of Acceptable Solderable Terminal



Figure 4-6 Illustration of Unsolderable Terminal



Figure 4-7 Illustration of Acceptable Solderable Stranded Wire



Figure 4-8 Illustration of Partially Solderable Stranded Wire Showing Incomplete Fillet

Table 4-3	Test C	Solderability	Testing	Parameters
-----------	--------	---------------	---------	------------

Solder Process	Wave/Manual Soldering
Solder Type	SnPb per 3.1.1
Flux Type	Standard Flux #1 per 3.1.2
Flux Immersion Time	5 to 10 seconds
Flux Immersion Angle	90° Nominal
Solder Temperature	245 ± 5°C [473 ±9°F]
Solder Immersion Time	7 +0/-0.5 seconds
Solder Immersion / Emersion Rate	25 ± 6 mm/sec [0.984 ± 0.24 in/sec]

4.2.3.4 Procedure

- a. The flux shall be at ambient (room) temperature.
- b. Terminations shall be immersed in the flux to the minimum depth necessary to cover the surface to be tested.
- c. The surface to be tested shall be immersed for 5-10 seconds and allowed to drain for 10-60 seconds.
- d. The dross and burned flux **shall** be skimmed from the surface of the molten solder just before immersing the terminations in the solder.
- e. Immerse and withdraw at a rate of 25 ± 6 mm [0.984 \pm 0.24 in] per second and dwell for 7 ± 0.50 seconds.
- f. The part **shall** be attached to a dipping device and the flux-covered terminations immersed once in the molten solder to the same depth specified in 4.2.3.3b.
- g. After the dipping process, the part shall be allowed to cool in air.
- h. Before examination, all leads **shall** have all visible flux residues removed. The cleaned surface **shall** exhibit no mechanical damage.

4.2.3.5 Evaluation

4.2.3.5.1 Magnification Parts shall be examined at 10X using the equipment specified in 3.2.3.

4.2.3.5.2 Accept/Reject Criteria The criteria for acceptable solderability of lugs, tabs, terminals, stranded wires greater than 1.016 mm [0.040 in] diameter, solid wire greater than 1.143 mm [0.045 in] diameter are:

- a. A minimum of 95% of the total length of fillet between wrap wires and termination **shall** be tangent to the surface of the termination and be free of anomalies such as pinholes.
- b. A ragged or interrupted tangency line indicates a defect.

In case of dispute, the percent of fillet-length with defects **shall** be determined by their actual measurement. See Figure B-4 in Appendix B that serves as an aid in the evaluation of the 5% allowable defects.

4.2.4 Test D - SnPb or Pb-free Solder – Resistance to Dissolution of Metallization Test This test is to reveal a susceptibility to loss of solderability due to either:

- a. Dissolution of metallization over unsolderable base material (as indicated by loss of wetting), or
- b. Accumulation of impurities from the basis metal (as indicated by dewetting).

4.2.4.1 Apparatus

4.2.4.1.1 Solder Pot/Bath A thermostatically controlled static solder vessel **shall** be used for all applicable tests. The solder vessel **shall** be of adequate dimensions to accommodate the specimens and contain sufficient solder to maintain the solder temperature during testing, and to prevent exceeding the contamination levels (see 3.4.1). A minimum of 750 grams of solder should be used.

4.2.4.1.2 Dipping Device A mechanical or electromechanical dipping device similar to the device shown in Figure 4-1 **shall** be used AABUS. The rate of immersion, dwell time, and rate of withdrawal **shall** be within the test limits defined in 4.2.4.3.

4.2.4.1.3 Attitude (Angle of Immersion) All components **shall** be dipped using a vertical motion to ensure complete immersion of the surfaces to be soldered.

4.2.4.2 Preparation Specimen preparation shall be in accordance with 3.3.

4.2.4.3 Test Parameters Test D solderability testing parameters are listed in Table 4-4.

4.2.4.4 Procedure

a. Dross and burned flux shall be skimmed from the surface of the molten solder immediately before dipping.

b. The flux-covered component metallization **shall** be immersed only once in the molten solder to a minimum depth to completely cover the termination being tested.

Table 4-4 Test D Solderability Testing Parameters

Solder Process	SnPb Soldering	Pb-Free Soldering	
Solder Type	SnPb per 3.1.1	SAC per 3.1.1	
Flux Type	Standard Flux #1 per 3.1.2 Standard Flux #1 per		
Flux Immersion Time	5 to 10 seconds		
Flux Immersion Angle	20° - 45°		
Solder Temperature	260 ± 5°C [500 ± 9°F]		
Solder Immersion Time	30 +0/-0.5 seconds		
Solder Immersion / Emersion Rate	25 ± 6 mm/sec [0.984 ± 0.24 in/sec]		

c. The angle of immersion shall be between 20° and 45° .

h. Before examination, all leads **shall** have all visible flux residues removed. The cleaned surface **shall** exhibit no mechanical damage.

4.2.4.5 Evaluation

4.2.4.5.1 Magnification Parts **shall** be examined at 10X using the equipment specified in 3.2.3. For fine pitch components (0.5 mm [0.020 in] pitch or less) the inspection magnification **shall** be 30X.

4.2.4.5.2 Accept/Reject Criteria The criteria for acceptable resistance to leaching/dewetting shall be no more than 5% of the solderable metallization exhibiting exposed underlying, non-wettable base metal or metallization layers or portions of the ceramic substrate after exposure to molten solder.

4.2.5 Test S - SnPb Solder – Surface Mount Process Simulation Test This test simulates actual surface mount component performance in a reflow process.

4.2.5.1 Apparatus

4.2.5.1.1 Stencil/Screen A stencil or screen with pad geometry openings that is appropriate for the surface mount components being tested **shall** be used. Unless AABUS, the nominal stencil thickness **shall** be per Table 4-5.

Nominal Stencil Thickness	Component Lead Pitch
0.10 mm [0.00394 in]	<0.508 mm [<0.020 in]
0.15 mm [0.00591 in]	0.508-0.635 mm [0.020-0.025 in]
0.20 mm [0.00787 in]	>0.635 mm [>0.025 in]

Table 4-5	Stencil	Thickness	Requirements
-----------	---------	-----------	---------------------

4.2.5.1.2 Paste Application Tool A rubber or metal squeegee device **shall** be used to distribute paste across stencil/screen.

4.2.5.1.3 Paste Dispensing Solder paste may be dispensed on test substrate using solder paste dispensing methods (i.e., syringe) as an alternative to the use of a stencil/screen.

4.2.5.1.4 Test Substrate A ceramic substrate 0.635 mm [0.025 in] nominal thickness **shall** be used for testing. Other non-wettable substrates may be used AABUS.

4.2.5.1.5 SnPb Reflow Equipment An IR/convection reflow oven, vapor phase reflow system, or oven capable of reaching the reflow temperature of the SnPb paste **shall** be used. Unless otherwise AABUS the reflow parameters **shall** be per Table 4-6.

d. Immerse and withdraw at a rate of $25 \pm 6 \text{ mm} [0.984 \pm 0.24 \text{ in}]$ per second and dwell for 30 ± 5 seconds. After the dipping process, the part **shall** be allowed to cool in air.

	Temperature	Time
Vapor Phase Reflow	215-219°C [419-426°F]	30-60 seconds dwell at reflow
ID/Convection Deflow	150-170°C [302-338°F] Preheat	50-70 seconds
	215-230°C [419-446°F] Reflow	50-70 seconds
Oven	215-230°C [419-446°F]	2-5 minutes (until reflow is assured)

Table 4-6 Reflow Parameter Requirements

Notes:

1. Table 4-6 reflow parameter values are for solderability testing purposes and are not related to moisture sensitivity level reflow test parameters.

2. Tolerance for reflow temperature is defined as component supplier maximum and user minimum.

4.2.5.2 Preparation Specimen preparation shall be in accordance with 3.3.

4.2.5.3 Test Parameters Test S solderability testing parameters are listed in Table 4-7.

Table 4-7 Test S Solderability Testing Parameter
--

Solder Process	SnPb Soldering Pb-free Backward Compatibility		
Solder paste Type	SnPb per 3.1.1		
Reflow Process	Table 4-6 Table 4-6 with 215 ± 5°C [419 ± 9°F]		

Note: Pb-free Backward Compatibility testing recommended for IR/Convection Reflow Process only.

4.2.5.4 Procedure

- a. Place solder paste (per 3.1.1) onto stencil/screen and print the terminal pattern onto the test substrate by wiping paste over the stencil/screen in one smooth motion using rubber or metal squeegee.
- b. Remove the stencil/screen carefully to avoid smearing the paste print.
- c. Verify a paste print equivalent in geometry to the terminal of the device to be tested.
- d. Place the terminals of the component being tested on the solder paste print.
- e. Verify component placement by appropriate magnification.
- f. Place test substrate on applicable reflow equipment and conduct reflow process.
- g. After reflow, carefully remove substrate with component(s) and allow to cool to room temperature.
- h. Remove component(s) from substrate. Component leads may adhere slightly to substrate due to flux residue.
- i. Before examination, all leads or terminations **shall** have all visible flux residues removed. Care should be exercised in flux residue removal process to not damage leads or terminations.

4.2.5.5 Evaluation

4.2.5.5.1 Magnification Parts **shall** be examined at 10X using the equipment specified in 3.2.3. For fine pitch leaded/ termination parts (0.5 mm [0.020 in] pitch or less) the inspection magnification **shall** be 30X.

4.2.5.5.2 Accept/Reject Criteria All leads shall exhibit a continuous solder coating free from defects for a minimum of 95% of the critical area of any individual lead. For exposed pad packages the exposed pad surfaces shall exhibit a continuous solder coating free from defects for a minimum of 80% of the critical area of those surfaces. Anomalies other than dewetting, nonwetting, and pin holes are not cause for rejection (see Appendices A and B). Exposed terminal metal is allowable on surface mount components at the toe end and on the vertical surfaces that are either unplated or sheared during component fabrication.

4.2.6 Test A1 - Pb-free Solder – Solder Bath/Dip and Look Test (Leads, Wires, etc.) This test is for solder bath/dip and look testing of leaded components, solid wires, and stranded wires greater than 0.254 mm [0.01 in] minimum.

4.2.6.1 Apparatus

4.2.6.1.1 Solder Pot/Bath A thermostatically controlled static solder vessel **shall** be used for all applicable tests. The solder vessel **shall** be of adequate dimensions to accommodate the specimens and contain sufficient solder to maintain the solder temperature during testing, and to prevent exceeding the contamination levels (see 3.4.1). A minimum of 750 grams of solder should be used.

4.2.6.1.2 Dipping Device A mechanical or electromechanical dipping device similar to the device shown in Figure 4-1 **shall** be used AABUS. The rate of immersion, dwell time, and rate of withdrawal **shall** be within the test limits defined in 4.2.6.3. Perpendicularity of through-hole component leads to solder surface **shall** be maintained. Leaded surface mount components **shall** be immersed at between 20° - 45° (or 90° nominal AABUS) to the solder surface (see Figure 4-2). This angle **shall** remain consistent for any given component type. Wobble, vibration, and other extraneous movements **shall** be minimized.

4.2.6.2 Preparation Specimen preparation and conditioning for testing **shall** be in accordance with 3.3.

4.2.6.3 Test Parameters Test A1 solderability testing parameters are listed in Table 4-8.

Table 4-8 Test	A1 Solde	rability Testing	Parameters
	AT Oblac	ability rooting	i urumetero

Solder Process	Wave Soldering	Reflow Soldering
Solder Type	SAC per 3.1.1	
Flux Type	Standard Flux #2 per 3.1.2	
Flux Immersion Time	5 to 10 seconds	
Flux Immersion Angle	90° Nominal	20° - 45°
Solder Temperature	245 ± 5°C [500 ± 9°F]	
Solder Immersion Time	5 +0/-0.5 seconds	
Solder Immersion / Emersion Rate	25 ± 6 mm/sec [0.984 ± 0.24 in/sec]	

4.2.6.4 Procedure

a. Dross and burned flux shall be skimmed from the surface of the molten solder immediately before dipping.

- b. The fluxed specimen **shall** be immersed in the molten solder to within 1.25 mm [0.049 in] of the component body or to the seating plane (whichever is further from the component body) for through-hole leaded components (see Figure 4-3).
- c. Immerse and withdraw at $25 \pm 6 \text{ mm} [0.984 \pm 0.24 \text{ in}]$ per second and dwell for 5 + 0/-0.5 seconds (see 5.2).
- d. After withdrawal, the solder **shall** be allowed to solidify by air cooling while the specimen is maintained in the test attitude.
- e. Before examination, all leads **shall** have all visible flux residues removed. The cleaned surface **shall** exhibit no mechanical damage.

4.2.6.5 Evaluation

4.2.6.5.1 Magnification Parts **shall** be examined at 10X using the equipment specified in 3.2.3. For fine pitch leaded parts (0.5 mm [0.019 in] pitch or less) the inspection magnification **shall** be 30X.

4.2.6.5.2 Accept/Reject Criteria All leads shall exhibit a continuous solder coating free from defects for a minimum of 95% of the critical area of any individual lead. For exposed pad packages the exposed pad surfaces shall exhibit a continuous solder coating free from defects for a minimum of 80% of the critical area of those surfaces. Anomalies other than dewetting, nonwetting, and pin holes are not cause for rejection (see Appendices A and B). Exposed terminal metal is allowable on surface mount components at the toe end and on the vertical surfaces that are either unplated or sheared during component fabrication.

4.2.7 Test B1 - Pb-free Solder – Solder Bath/Dip and Look Test (Leadless Components) This test is for solder bath/dip and look testing of leadless components.

4.2.7.1 Apparatus

4.2.7.1.1 Solder Pot/Bath A thermostatically controlled static solder vessel **shall** be used for all applicable tests. The solder vessel **shall** be of adequate dimensions to accommodate the specimens and contain sufficient solder to maintain the solder temperature during testing, and to prevent exceeding the contamination levels (see 3.4.1). A minimum of 750 grams of solder should be used.

4.2.7.1.2 Vertical Dipping Device A mechanical or electromechanical dipping device similar to the device shown in Figure 4-1 **shall** be used unless AABUS. The rate of immersion, dwell time, and rate of withdrawal **shall** be within the test

limits defined in 4.2.7.3. Surface mount leadless components **shall** be immersed at an angle to the solder surface of 20° - 45° and 90° for discrete chip components and exposed pad packages. Other immersion angles may be used AABUS.

4.2.7.2 Preparation Specimen preparation and conditioning for testing **shall** be in accordance with 3.3.

4.2.7.3 Test Parameters Test B1 solderability testing parameters are listed in Table 4-9.

Table 4-9	Test B1	Solderability	Testing	Parameters
-----------	---------	---------------	---------	------------

Solder Process	Reflow, Discrete Chip Components and Exposed Pad packages	Reflow, All Others
Solder Type	SAC pe	er 3.1.1
Flux Type	Standard Flux	k #2 per 3.1.2
Flux Immersion Time	5-10 seconds	
Flux Immersion Angle	90° Nominal 20°-45°	
Solder Temperature	245 ± 5°C [500 ± 9°F]	
Solder Immersion Time	5 +0/-0.5 seconds	
Solder Immersion / Emersion Rate	25 ± 6 mm/sec [0.984 ± 0.24 in/sec]	

4.2.7.4 Procedure

- a. Dross and burned flux shall be skimmed from the surface of the molten solder immediately before dipping.
- b. The fluxed specimen **shall** be immersed in the molten solder 0.10 mm [0.0039 in] minimum (see Figure 4-4). Immerse and withdraw at 25 ± 6 mm [0.984 \pm 0.24 in] per second and dwell for 5 +0/-0.5 seconds. Massive components may require a longer molten solder dwell time (see 5.2).
- c. After withdrawal, the solder **shall** be allowed to solidify by air cooling while the specimen is maintained in the test attitude.
- d. Before examination, all terminations **shall** have all visible flux residues removed. The cleaned surface **shall** exhibit no mechanical damage.

4.2.7.5 Evaluation

4.2.7.5.1 Magnification Parts **shall** be examined at 10X using the equipment specified in 3.2.3. For fine pitch termination parts (0.5 mm [0.020 in] pitch or less) the inspection magnification **shall** be 30X.

4.2.7.5.2 Accept/Reject Criteria All terminations shall exhibit a continuous solder coating free from defects for a minimum of 95% of the critical area of any individual termination. For exposed pad packages, the exposed pad surfaces shall exhibit a continuous solder coating free from defects for a minimum of 80% of the critical area of those surfaces. Anomalies other than dewetting, nonwetting, and pin holes are not cause for rejection (see Appendices A and B). Exposed terminal metal is allowable on surface mount components at the toe end an on the vertical surfaces that are either unplated or sheared during component fabrication.

4.2.8 Test C1 - Pb-free Solder – Wrapped Wires Test (Lugs, Tabs, Terminals, Large Stranded Wires) This test is for wrapped wires testing of lugs, tabs, terminals, stranded wires greater than 1.016 mm [0.040 in] diameter, and solid wires greater than 1.143 mm [0.045 in] diameter.

4.2.8.1 Apparatus

4.2.8.1.1 Solder Pot/Bath A thermostatically controlled static solder vessel **shall** be used for all applicable tests. The solder vessel **shall** be of adequate dimensions to accommodate the specimens and contain sufficient solder to maintain the solder temperature during testing, and to prevent exceeding the contamination levels (see 3.4.1). A minimum of 750 grams of solder should be used.

4.2.8.1.2 Dipping Device A mechanical or electromechanical dipping device similar to the device shown in Figure 4-1 shall be used AABUS. The rate of immersion, dwell time, and rate of withdrawal shall be within the test limits defined in 4.2.8.3. Wobble, vibration, and other extraneous movements shall be minimized.

4.2.8.2 Preparation Specimen preparation shall be in accordance with 3.3.

- a. For application of standard solderable wires for lugs, tabs, terminals, stranded wires greater than 1.016 mm [0.040 in] diameter, and solid wires greater than 1.15 mm [0.045 in] diameter, all specimens **shall** have a wrap of 1.5 turns of the standard wires around the portion of the specimen to be tested.
- b. The standard wrapping wires as described in 3.1.3 **shall** be wrapped in such a manner so that it will not move during the solder dip. Examples of this wrap are shown in Figures 4-5 through 4-8.
- c. Special instructions concerning the portion of the specimens to be wrapped **shall** be specified in the individual specification, if necessary.
- d. For lugs and tabs designed to accept wires smaller than 0.6 mm [0.024 in] diameter, the standard copper wrapping wires specified in 3.1.3 **shall** be the same size for which the lugs and tabs are designed.

4.2.8.3 Test Parameters Test C1 solderability testing parameters are listed in Table 4-10.

Solder Process	Wave Soldering	
Solder Type	SAC per 3.1.1	
Flux Type	Standard Flux #2 per 3.1.2	
Flux Immersion Time	5 to 10 seconds	
Flux Immersion Angle	Immersion Angle 90° Nominal	
Solder Temperature	ture 245 ± 5°C [473 ± 9°F]	
Solder Immersion Time	7 +0/-0.5 seconds	
Solder Immersion / Emersion Rate	25 ± 6 mm/sec [0.984 ± 0.24 in/sec]	

Table 4-10 Test C1 Solderability Testing Parameters

4.2.8.4 Procedure

- a. The flux shall be at ambient (room) temperature.
- b. Terminations shall be immersed in the flux to the minimum depth necessary to cover the surface to be tested.
- c. The surface to be tested shall be immersed for 5-10 seconds and allowed to drain for 10-60 seconds.
- d. The dross and burned flux **shall** be skimmed from the surface of the molten solder just before immersing the terminations in the solder.
- e. Immerse and withdraw at a rate of 25 ± 6 mm [0.984 \pm 0.24 in] per second and dwell for 7 ± 0.50 seconds.
- f. The part **shall** be attached to a dipping device and the flux-covered terminations immersed once in the molten solder to the same depth specified in 4.2.8.3b.
- g. After the dipping process, the part shall be allowed to cool in air.
- h. Before examination, all leads **shall** have all visible flux residues removed. The cleaned surface **shall** exhibit no mechanical damage.

4.2.8.5 Evaluation

4.2.8.5.1 Magnification Parts shall be examined at 10X using the equipment specified in 3.2.3.

4.2.8.5.2 Accept/Reject Criteria The criteria for acceptable solderability of lugs, tabs, terminals, stranded wires greater than 1.016 mm [0.040 in] diameter, and solid wires greater than 1.143 mm [0.045 in] diameter are:

- a. A minimum of 95% of the total length of fillet between wrap wires and termination **shall** be tangent to the surface of the termination and be free of anomalies such as pinholes.
- b. A ragged or interrupted tangency line indicates a defect.

In case of dispute, the percent of fillet-length with defects **shall** be determined by their actual measurement. See Figure B-4 in Appendix B that serves as an aid in the evaluation of the 5% allowable defects.

4.2.9 Test S1 - Pb-free Solder – Surface Mount Process Simulation Test This test simulates actual surface mount component performance in a reflow process.

4.2.9.1 Apparatus

4.2.9.1.1 Stencil/Screen A stencil or screen with pad geometry openings that is appropriate for the surface mount components being tested **shall** be used. Unless AABUS, the nominal stencil thickness **shall** be per Table 4-11.

Table 4-11 Stencil Thickness Requirements

Nominal Stencil Thickness	Component Lead Pitch
0.10 mm [0.00394 in]	<0.508 mm [<0.020 in]
0.15 mm [0.00591 in]	0.508-0.635 mm [0.020-0.025 in]
0.20 mm [0.00787 in]	>0.635 mm [>0.025 in]

4.2.9.1.2 Paste Application Tool A rubber or metal squeegee device **shall** be used to distribute paste across stencil/screen.

4.2.9.1.2.1 Paste Dispensing Solder paste may be dispensed on test substrate using solder paste dispensing methods.

4.2.9.1.3 Test Substrate A ceramic substrate 0.635 mm [0.025 in] nominal thickness **shall** be used for testing. Other non-wettable substrates may be used AABUS.

4.2.9.1.4 Pb-free Reflow Equipment An IR/convection reflow oven, vapor phase reflow system, or oven capable of reaching the reflow temperature of the Pb-free paste **shall** be used. The reflow parameters **shall** be per Table 4-12 or AABUS.

Table 4-12	Pb-free Reflow	Parameter	Requirements
------------	----------------	-----------	--------------

	Temperature	Time
Vapor Phase Reflow	217-240°C [423-464°F]	45-90 seconds dwell at reflow
IP/Convection Poflow	150-180°C [302-356°F] Preheat	60-120 seconds
	230-250°C [446-482°F] Reflow	30-60 seconds
Oven	230-250°C [446-482°F]	2-5 minutes (until reflow is assured)

Notes:

1. Table 4-12 reflow parameter values are for solderability testing purposes and are not related to moisture sensitivity level reflow test parameters

2. Tolerance for reflow temperature is defined as component supplier maximum and user minimum.

4.2.9.2 Preparation Specimen preparation shall be in accordance with 3.3.

4.2.9.3 Test Parameters Test S1 solderability testing parameters are listed in Table 4-13.

Table 4-13 Test S1 Solderability Testing Parameters

Solder Process	Pb-free Soldering
Solder paste Type	SAC per 3.1.1
Reflow Process	Table 4-2

4.2.9.4 Procedure

- a. Place solder paste (see 3.1.1) onto stencil/screen and print the terminal pattern onto the test substrate by wiping paste over the stencil/screen in one smooth motion using rubber or metal squeegee.
- b. Remove the stencil/screen carefully to avoid smearing the paste print.
- c. Verify a paste print equivalent in geometry to the terminal of the device to be tested.
- d. Place the terminals of the component being tested on the solder paste print.
- e. Verify component placement by appropriate magnification.
- f. Place test substrate on applicable reflow equipment and conduct reflow process.
- g. After reflow, carefully remove substrate with component(s) and allow to cool to room temperature.
- h. Remove component(s) from substrate. Component leads may adhere slightly to substrate due to flux residue.
- i. Before examination, all leads **shall** have all visible flux residues removed. Care should be exercised in flux residue removal process to not damage leads.

4.2.9.5 Evaluation

4.2.9.5.1 Magnification Parts **shall** be examined at 10X using the equipment specified in 3.2.3. For fine pitch leaded/ termination parts (0.5 mm [0.020 in] pitch or less) the inspection magnification **shall** be 30X.

4.2.9.5.2 Accept/Reject Criteria All leads shall exhibit a continuous solder coating free from defects for a minimum of 95% of the critical area of any individual lead. For exposed pad packages, the exposed pad surfaces shall exhibit a continuous solder coating free from defects for a minimum of 80% of the critical area of those surfaces. Anomalies other than dewetting, nonwetting, and pin holes are not cause for rejection (see Appendices A and B). Exposed terminal metal is allowable on surface mount components at the toe end and on the vertical surfaces that are either unplated or sheared during component fabrication.

4.3 Force Measurement Tests

4.3.1 Test E - SnPb Solder – Wetting Balance Solder Pot Test (Leaded Components) This test is for wetting balance testing of leaded components. Appendix H provides a test protocol for wetting balance gauge repeatability and reproducibility using coper foil coupons.

4.3.1.1 Apparatus A solder meniscus force measuring device (wetting balance) which includes a temperature controlled solder pot **shall** be used. The equipment **shall** have a means of recording force as a function of time, such as a chart recorder, data logger, or computer (see Figure 4-9).





4.3.1.1.1 Dipping Device A mechanical or electromechanical dipping device incorporated in the wetting balance **shall** be used. The device **shall** be preset to produce an immersion and emersion rate as specified in 4.3.1.3. The specimen dwell time is controlled to the time specified in 4.3.1.3. A device to sense contact of the lead(s) with the molten solder bath **shall** also be part of the fixture or instrument.

4.3.1.2 Preparation Specimen preparation and conditioning for testing **shall** be in accordance with 3.3.

4.3.1.3 Materials The solder **shall** meet the requirements of 3.1.1. The flux **shall** meet the requirements of 3.1.2. The solder contamination limits **shall** meet the requirements of 3.4.1.

4.3.1.4 Solder Temperature Solderability testing **shall** be done at a solder temperature of $245 \pm 5^{\circ}C$ [$473 \pm 9^{\circ}F$]. Alternatively, SnPb surface mount technology component solderability testing **may** be done at a solder temperature of $215 \pm 5^{\circ}C$ [$419 \pm 9^{\circ}F$] AABUS.

4.3.1.5 Procedure

a. The flux shall be at ambient (room) temperature.

b. Leads and terminations shall have flux applied uniformly and to cover the surfaces to be tested.

- c. Dross and burned flux shall be skimmed from the surface of the molten solder immediately before dipping.
- d. The flux covered termination **shall** be immersed only once in the molten solder to a minimum depth of 0.10 mm [0.0039 in].
- e. The angle of immersion **shall** be 20°- 45° or 90° nominal, (see Figure 4-2 or Figure 4-3), depending on the type of surface mount or plated thru hole component being tested.
- f. Immerse and withdraw at 1-5 mm $[0.04 \pm 0.20 \text{ in}]$ per second and dwell for 5 +0/-0.5 seconds. Massive components may require a longer solder dwell time (see 5.2).
- g. Before examination, all leads **shall** have all visible flux residues removed. The cleaned surface **shall** exhibit no mechanical damage.

4.3.1.6 Evaluation

4.3.1.6.1 Magnification Parts **shall** be examined at 10X using the equipment specified in 3.2.3. For fine pitch leaded/ termination parts (0.5 mm [0.020 in] pitch or less) the inspection magnification **shall** be 30X.

4.3.1.6.2 Accept/Reject Criteria Suggested criteria for solderability evaluation for Test E are listed in Table 4-14. Figures 4-10 and 4-11 illustrate the suggested criteria of Table 4-5. In addition, the area of the test sample with fresh solder adhesion shall be greater than the area that was immersed in the solder bath (i.e., the component shall exhibit positive wicking beyond its immersion depth). Appendix G provides additional information for understanding wetting curves.

		Suggested Criteria ¹		
Parameter	Description	Set A	Set B	
To	Time to buoyancy corrected zero	1 second	≤2 seconds	
F2	Wetting force at two seconds from start of test	50% of maximum theoretical wetting force at or before two seconds ²	Positive value at or before two seconds	
F5	Wetting force at five seconds from start of test	No less than 90% of the F2 Value	No less than 90% of the F2 Value	
AA	Integrated value of area of the wetting curve from start of test	Area calculated using sample buoyancy and 50% maximum theoretical force ³	> zero (0)	

 Table 4-14
 Wetting Balance Parameter and Suggested Evaluation Criteria

Notes:

1. This suggested criteria have been established as a two-tier evaluation format with Set A being more stringent. Components meeting Set A suggested criteria are applicable to a larger soldering process window than components meeting Set B suggested criteria. It should be recognized that components meeting Set B suggested criteria may be completely acceptable to a larger process window but the user must determine which criteria set best integrates into their process.

2. See Appendix C for the method of calculating the maximum theoretical force.

3. See Appendix D for the method of calculation. (It is suggested that this method of calculation be programmed into the software used for control of the wetting balance test equipment.)

4.3.2 Test F - SnPb Solder – Wetting Balance Solder Pot Test (Leadless Components) This test is for wetting balance testing of leadless components.

4.3.2.1 Apparatus A solder meniscus force measuring device (wetting balance) which includes a temperature controlled solder pot **shall** be used. The equipment **shall** have a means of recording force as a function of time, such as a chart recorder, data logger, or computer (see Figure 4-9).

4.3.2.1.1 Dipping Device A mechanical or electromechanical dipping device incorporated in the wetting balance **shall** be used. The device **shall** be preset to produce an immersion and emersion rate as specified in 4.3.2.5. The specimen dwell time is controlled to the time specified in 4.3.2.5.

4.3.2.2 Preparation Specimen preparation and conditioning for testing **shall** be in accordance with 3.3.

4.3.2.3 Materials The solder **shall** meet the requirements of 3.1.1. The flux **shall** meet the requirements of 3.1.2. The solder contamination limits **shall** meet the requirements of 3.4.1.

4.3.2.4 Solder Temperature Solderability testing shall be done at a solder temperature of $245 \pm 5^{\circ}$ C [$473 \pm 9^{\circ}$ F].



Figure 4-10 Set A Wetting Curve



Figure 4-11 Set B Wetting Curve

4.3.2.5 Procedure

- a. The flux shall be at ambient (room) temperature.
- b. Leads and terminations shall have flux applied uniformly and to cover the surfaces to be tested.
- c. Immerse and withdraw at 1-5 mm [0.04 in 0.20 in] per second and dwell for 5 +0/-0.5 seconds. Massive components may require a longer solder dwell time (see 5.2).
- d. After application of the flux and post dip dwell, the specimen shall be mounted on the test equipment.
- e. Dross and burned flux shall be skimmed from the surface of the molten solder immediately before dipping.
- f. The flux covered termination **shall** be immersed only once in the molten solder to a depth of 0.10 mm [0.0039 in] minimum.
- g. The angle of immersion shall be per Figure 4-4.
- h. A full curve shall be recorded using the equipment specified in 4.3.2.1.
- i. Before examination, all leads **shall** have all visible flux residues removed. The cleaned surface **shall** exhibit no mechanical damage.

4.3.2.6 Evaluation

4.3.2.6.1 Magnification Parts **shall** be examined at 10X using the equipment specified in 3.2.3. For fine pitch termination parts (0.5 mm [0.020 in] pitch or less) the inspection magnification **shall** be 30X.

4.3.2.6.2 Accept/Reject Criteria Suggested criteria for solderability evaluation for Test F are listed in Table 4-5. Figures 4-10 and 4-11 illustrate the suggested criteria of Table 4-5. In addition, the area of the test sample with fresh solder adhesion **shall** be greater than the area that was immersed in the solder bath (i.e., the component **shall** exhibit positive wicking beyond its immersion depth).

4.3.3 Test G - SnPb Solder – Wetting Balance Globule Test This test is for wetting balance globule testing of components.

4.3.3.1 Apparatus A solder meniscus force measuring device (wetting balance) which includes a temperature controlled vertical, cylindrical iron shaft shrunk fit into an aluminum housing on which is placed a specific sized piece of solder. Examples are: a 200 mg [0.007 oz] piece of solder for a 4 mm [0.16 in] diameter globule block, a 100 mg [0.0035 oz] piece of solder for a 3.2 mm [0.13 in] diameter globule block, a 25 mg [0.0008 oz] piece of solder for a 2 mm [0.08 in] globule block or a 5 mg [0.0002 oz] piece for a 1 mm [0.04 in] globule block. The molten solder globule should ideally be replaced after each solderability test, but may be re-used for very small components that do not remove more than 1% of the solder volume per solder test for up to 5 tests. The equipment **shall** have a means of recording force as a function of time, such as a chart recorder, data logger or computer.

4.3.3.1.1 Dipping Device A mechanical or electromechanical dipping device incorporated in the wetting balance **shall** be used. The device **shall** be preset to produce an immersion and emersion rate as specified in 4.3.3.3.3. The specimen dwell time is controlled to the time specified in 4.3.3.3.3.

4.3.3.2 Materials

4.3.3.2.1 Flux The flux used **shall** be in accordance with 3.1.2.

4.3.3.2.2 Solder The solder shall be in accordance with 3.1.1. Other alloys may be used AABUS.

4.3.3.2.3 Test Specimen The test specimen **shall** either be a full component or a lead that has been carefully removed from the component. Ideally the cross-section of the component to be dipped is either rectangular, square or round, to facilitate calculation of the theoretical maximum wetting force. Ideally no burr should be present but, if they are, generally they will be on the components used in production and should not be removed, as they may actually be the cause of the poor solderability. No cleaning of the specimen is allowed. Conditioning, if any, must be AABUS in advance.

4.3.3.3 Procedure

4.3.3.3.1 Temperature of the Solder The temperature of the solder is to be stabilized at the required temperature for the test before commencing the test. SnPb solderability testing **shall** be done at a solder temperature of $245 \pm 5^{\circ}$ C [$473 \pm 9^{\circ}$ F]. Alternatively, SnPb surface mount technology component solderability testing **shall** be done at a solder temperature of $215 \pm 5^{\circ}$ C [$419 \pm 9^{\circ}$ F] AABUS.

4.3.3.3.2 Fluxing A very small amount of flux is carefully applied to the surface or lead to be tested and the solder globule using a clean cotton tip or bud. No excess flux **shall** be ready to drip off the fluxed part or the excess flux must be drained off by carefully touching the lowest point on the surface to be tested with a piece of clean chemical lab filter paper. For this testing, ideally a small amount of flux should be kept in a small container that is only opened to immerse the cotton tip to wet it with flux. The cotton tips should be thrown away and replaced with fresh ones every 5 to 10 tests, with all tests done in the same testing interval. If testing is interrupted for more than a few minutes, then a new tip should be used.

4.3.3.3.3 Dipping Angle, Immersion Depth, and Immersion Rates The appropriate clip **shall** be chosen to hold the part as specified in Table 4-15 and illustrated in Figure 4-12. Without contaminating the surfaces to be tested, the specimen is mounted in the appropriate clip or other device supplied by the solderability tester manufacturer and carefully attached to the machine so as to not damage the transducer or dislodge the component from its orientation in the clip or other holder.

The distance between the solderable surface of the sample and the solder globule should be fixed. An immersion speed between 1 mm/second and 5 mm/second [0.039 in/second and 0.20 in/second] should ensure that most test specimens are fully immersed. A dwell time of 5 seconds **shall** be used. A dwell time of 10 seconds may be necessary for large components or components with high thermal mass (see 5.2).

Component ^a		Dipping angle ^b	Figure	Immersion depth mm	Pin size mm	Globule weight mg	Remarks
	0603 (0201) ^g	Horizontal	2A	0.01	1	2.5	
	1005 (0402)	Horizontal	0.4.05			05	
Capacitors	1608 (0603)	or Vertical	2A, 2B	0.10	2	25	
	2012 (0805)	Llovinontol	0.4	0.10	3, 2 or 4	100 or 200	
	3216 (1206)	Horizoniai	28		4	200	
	0603 (0201) ^g	Horizontal	2A	0.01	1	2.5	
	1005 (0402)	Vertical	2B		0	05	
Resistors	1608 (0603)			0.10	2	20	
	2012 (0805)	Horizontal or Vertical	2A, 2H ^c	0,10	3, 2 or 4	100 or 200	
	3216 (1206)				4	200	
Tantalum capacitors, LEDs	Case sizes A ^d , B, C, D	Vertical	2H ^c	0,10	4	200	
	SOT 23, 25, 26, 323, 343, 353, 363		2D	0,10	2	25	
	SOT 89,	20 - 45	2F	0,20			1 outor pip oply
Leaded	SOT 223, 523			2F	0.25		
SMD ^e	Gull wing diode			0,20	4		
	Any SOIC VSO, QFP, SOP		2D	0,20	4	200	Remove sufficient leads to avoid
	PLCC, SOJ	Horizontal	2E	0,10			tested leads
QFN		Horizontal	2H°	0,10	2	25	Caution from bridging
Cylindrical SMD		Horizontal or Vertical	2A, 2B	0,25	4	200	
SOD 80		Vertical	2B	0,20	4	200	
Any BGA,CSP or LGA ^f		Horizontal	2G	0,10	2	25	Only peripheral balls can be tested
Micro BGA		Horizontal	2G	0.05	1	10	Only peripheral balls can be tested

Table 4-15	Dipping Angle and Immersion	Depth for Components	(Directly from IEC 60068-2-69)
------------	-----------------------------	----------------------	--------------------------------

Not recommended for sizes below 0603 (0201).

Bath method is preferred for capacitors 3216 (1206) size.

The recommended dwell time is 5 s, except for SOT 89 and SOT 223 components where 10°s is recommended.

For Figure 2B, rightward offset may be used. Rightward offset distance from the crest of the solder globule **shall** be 0°% to 15°% of the pin diameter and **shall** avoid leftward offset.

- a Component names in parentheses, dimensions are expressed in Imperial.
- b Orientation of the specimen terminals or leads towards the solder surface.
- c Figure 2H is applicable to the components which do not have electrode toward the solder surface when Figure 2B is applied.
- d This test may only be applicable with certain test equipment.
- e These leads may be cut and tested individually, but care should be taken not to deform the part of the lead to be tested. This operation should be performed after ageing, if any ageing procedure is applied.
- f This test is recommended only for those balls and bumps that will not melt at the respective temperature and are not designed to melt during reflow operation.
- g In order to get better discrimination, this test may not require flux on the component.

4.3.3.3.4 Preheat The decision to use preheat should be AABUS.

EIA/IPC/JEDEC J-STD-002D



Figure 4-12 Component and Dipping Angle (Directly from IEC 60068-2-69)

4.3.3.4 Evaluation

4.3.3.4.1 Magnification Magnifications of up to 100X may be necessary, for example, to examine smaller components.

4.3.3.4.2 Suggested Criteria Prior to any post-test examination, all specimens **shall** have the flux removed using a cleaning agent in accordance with 3.2.3. The area of the test sample with fresh solder adhesion **shall** be greater than the area that was immersed in the solder globule, (i.e. the component **shall** exhibit positive wetting beyond its immersion depth). In addition, Table 4-16 lists suggested criteria.

		Suggested Criteria		
Parameter	Description	Set A	Set B	
To	Time to buoyancy corrected zero	≤1 second	≤2 seconds	
F2	Wetting force at 2 seconds from start of test	≥50% maximum theoretical wetting force at or before 2 seconds	Positive value at or before 2 seconds	
F5	Wetting force at 5 seconds from start of test	At or above the positive value of F2	At or above the value of F2	
AA	Integrated value of area of the wetting curve from start of the test	≥ area calculated using sample buoyancy and 50% maximum theoretical force	> zero (0)	

Table 4-16 Wetting Parameters and Suggested Evaluation Criteria

4.3.4 Test E1 - Pb-free Solder – Wetting Balance Solder Pot Test (Leaded Components) This test is for wetting balance testing of leaded components.

4.3.4.1 Apparatus A solder meniscus force measuring device (wetting balance) which includes a temperature controlled solder pot **shall** be used. The equipment **shall** have a means of recording force as a function of time, such as a chart recorder, data logger, or computer (see Figure 4-9).

4.3.4.1.1 Dipping Device A mechanical or electromechanical dipping device incorporated in the wetting balance **shall** be used. The device **shall** be preset to produce an immersion and emersion rate as specified in 4.3.4.5. The specimen dwell time is controlled to the time specified in 4.3.4.5. A device to sense contact of the lead(s) with the molten solder bath **shall** also be part of the fixture or instrument.

4.3.4.2 Preparation Specimen preparation and conditioning for testing **shall** be in accordance with 3.3.

4.3.4.3 Materials The solder **shall** meet the requirements of 3.1.1. The flux **shall** meet the requirements of 3.1.2. The solder contamination limits **shall** meet the requirements of 3.4.1.

4.3.4.4 Solder Temperature Pb-free solderability testing shall be done at a solder temperature of $245 \pm 5^{\circ}C$ [$473 \pm 9^{\circ}F$].

4.3.4.5 Procedure

- a. The flux shall be at ambient (room) temperature.
- b. Leads and terminations shall have flux applied uniformly and to cover the surfaces to be tested.
- c. Dross and burned flux shall be skimmed from the surface of the molten solder immediately before dipping.
- d. The flux covered termination **shall** be immersed only once in the molten solder to a minimum depth of 0.10 mm [0.0039 in].
- e. The angle of immersion **shall** be 20°-45° (see Figure 4-2) or 0° (see Figure 4-3), depending on the type of leaded component being tested.
- f. Immerse and withdraw at 1-5 mm $[0.04 \pm 0.20 \text{ in}]$ per second and dwell for 5 +0/-0.5 seconds. Massive components may require a longer solder dwell time (see 5.2).
- g. Before examination, all leads **shall** have all visible flux residues removed. The cleaned surface **shall** exhibit no mechanical damage.

4.3.4.6 Evaluation

4.3.4.6.1 Magnification Parts **shall** be examined at 10X using the equipment specified in 3.2.3. For fine pitch leaded/ termination parts (0.5 mm [0.020 in] pitch or less) the inspection magnification **shall** be 30X.

4.3.4.6.2 Accept/Reject Criteria Suggested criteria for solderability evaluation for Test E1 are listed in Table 4-5. Figures 4-10 and 4-11 illustrate the suggested criteria of Table 4-5. In addition, the area of the test sample with fresh solder adhesion shall be greater than the area that was immersed in the solder bath (i.e., the component shall exhibit positive wicking beyond its immersion depth).

4.3.5 Test F1 - Pb-free Solder – Wetting Balance Solder Pot Test (Leadless Components) This test is for wetting balance testing of leadless components.

4.3.5.1 Apparatus A solder meniscus force measuring device (wetting balance) which includes a temperature controlled solder pot **shall** be used. The equipment **shall** have a means of recording force as a function of time, such as a chart recorder, data logger, or computer (see Figure 4-9).

4.3.5.1.1 Dipping Device A mechanical or electromechanical dipping device incorporated in the wetting balance **shall** be used. The device **shall** be preset to produce an immersion and emersion rate as specified in 4.3.5.5. The specimen dwell time is controlled to the time specified in 4.3.5.3.

4.3.5.2 Preparation Specimen preparation and conditioning for testing **shall** be in accordance with 3.3.

4.3.5.3 Materials The solder **shall** meet the requirements of 3.1.1. The flux **shall** meet the requirements of 3.1.2. The solder contamination limits **shall** meet the requirements of 3.4.1.

4.3.5.4 Solder Temperature Pb-free solderability testing shall be done at a solder temperature of $245 \pm 5^{\circ}C$ [$473 \pm 9^{\circ}F$].

4.3.5.5 Procedure

a. Flux shall be at ambient (room) temperature.

- b. Leads and terminations shall have flux applied uniformly and to cover the surfaces to be tested.
- c. Immerse and withdraw at 1-5 mm [0.04 in 0.20 in] per second and dwell for 5 +0/-0.5 seconds. Massive components may require a longer solder dwell time (see 5.2).

- d. After application of the flux and post dip dwell, the specimen shall be mounted on the test equipment.
- e. Dross and burned flux shall be skimmed from the surface of the molten solder immediately before dipping.
- f. The flux covered termination **shall** be immersed only once in the molten solder to a depth of 0.10 mm [0.0039 in] minimum.
- g. The angle of immersion shall be per Figure 4-4.
- h. A full curve shall be recorded using the equipment specified in 4.3.5.1.
- i. Before examination, all leads **shall** have all visible flux residues removed. The cleaned surface **shall** exhibit no mechanical damage.

4.3.5.6 Evaluation

4.3.5.6.1 Magnification Parts **shall** be examined at 10X using the equipment specified in 3.2.3. For fine pitch termination parts (0.5 mm [0.020 in] pitch or less) the inspection magnification **shall** be 30X.

4.3.5.6.2 Accept/Reject Criteria Suggested criteria for solderability evaluation for Test F1 are listed in Table 4-5. Figures 4-10 and 4-11 illustrate the suggested criteria of Table 4-5. In addition, the area of the test sample with fresh solder adhesion shall be greater than the area that was immersed in the solder bath (i.e., the component shall exhibit positive wicking beyond its immersion depth).

4.3.6 Test G1 - Pb-free Solder – Wetting Balance Globule Test This test is for Pb-free solder wetting balance globule testing of components.

4.3.6.1 Apparatus A solder meniscus force measuring device (wetting balance) which includes a temperature controlled vertical, cylindrical iron shaft shrunk fit into an aluminum housing on which is placed a specific sized piece of solder. Examples are: a 200 mg [0.007 oz] piece of solder for a 4 mm [0.16 in] diameter globule block, a 25 mg [0.0008 oz] piece for a 2 mm [0.08 in] globule block, or a 6.25 mg [0.0002 oz] piece for a 1 mm [0.04in] globule block. The molten solder globule should ideally be replaced after each solderability test, but may be re-used for very small components that do not remove more than 1% of the solder volume per solder test for up to 5 tests. The equipment **shall** have a means of recording force as a function of time, such as a chart recorder, data logger, or computer.

4.3.6.1.1 Dipping Device A mechanical or electromechanical dipping device incorporated in the wetting balance **shall** be used. The device **shall** be preset to produce an immersion and emersion rate as specified in 4.3.6.3.3. The specimen dwell time is controlled to the time specified in 4.3.6.3.3.

4.3.6.2 Materials

4.3.6.2.1 Flux The flux used **shall** be in accordance with 3.1.2.

4.3.6.2.2 Solder The solder alloy shall be in accordance with 3.1.1. Other alloys may be used AABUS.

4.3.6.2.3 Test Specimen The test specimen **shall** either be a full component or a lead that has been carefully removed from the component. Ideally the cross-section of the component to be dipped is either rectangular, square or round, to facilitate calculation of the theoretical maximum wetting force. Ideally no burr should be present but, if they are, generally they will be on the components used in production and should not be removed, as they may actually be the cause of the poor solderability. No cleaning of the specimen is allowed. Conditioning, if any, must be AABUS, in advance.

4.3.6.3 Procedure

4.3.6.3.1 Temperature of the Solder The temperature of the solder is to be stabilized at the required temperature for the test before commencing the test. Pb-free solderability testing **shall** be done at a solder temperature of $245 \pm 5^{\circ}C$ [473 $\pm 9^{\circ}F$].

4.3.6.3.2 Fluxing A very small amount of flux is carefully applied to the surface or lead to be tested and the solder globule using a clean cotton tip or bud. No excess flux **shall** be ready to drip off the fluxed part or the excess flux must be drained off by carefully touching the lowest point on the surface to be tested with a piece of clean chemical lab filter paper. For this testing, ideally a small amount of flux should be kept in a small container that is only opened to immerse the cotton tip to

wet it with flux. The cotton tips should be thrown away and replaced with fresh ones every 5 to 10 tests, with all tests done in the same testing interval. If testing is interrupted for more than a few minutes, then a new tip should be used.

4.3.6.3.3 Dipping Angle, Immersion Depth, and Immersion Rates The appropriate clip **shall** be chosen to hold the part as specified in Table 4-6 and illustrated in Figure 4-12. Without contaminating the surfaces to be tested, the specimen is mounted in the appropriate clip or other device supplied by the solderability tester manufacturer and carefully attached to the machine so as to not damage the transducer or dislodge the component from its orientation in the clip or other holder. The distance between the solderable surface of the sample and the solder globule should be fixed. An immersion speed between 1-5 mm/second [0.039-0.20 in/second] should ensure that most test specimens are fully immersed. A dwell time of 5 seconds **shall** be used. A dwell time of 10 seconds may be necessary for large components or components with high thermal mass (see 5.2).

4.3.6.3.4 Preheat The decision to use preheat should be AABUS, prior to testing.

4.3.6.4 Evaluation

4.3.6.4.1 Magnification Magnifications of up to 100X may be necessary, for example, to examine components smaller than 0402 chip components.

4.3.6.4.2 Suggested Criteria Prior to any post-test examination, all specimens **shall** have all visible flux residues removed. The cleaned surface **shall** exhibit no mechanical damage. The area of the test sample with fresh solder adhesion **shall** be greater than the area that was immersed in the solder globule, (i.e., the component **shall** exhibit positive wetting beyond its immersion depth). In addition, Table 4-7 lists suggested criteria.

5 NOTES

5.1 Use of Activated Flux This standard specifies the use of a rosin-based flux, containing a very specific quantity of activator. The intent of requiring the use of a specific concentration of flux activator, rather than a pure rosin flux, is to reduce the variability of test results, enable the solderability testing of non-tin component lead metallizations, and provide a realistic solderability testing safety factor by fixing the amount of activator below that used for production soldering. The benefit of using this specified activated solderability testing flux composition has been demonstrated by extensive testing, as reported in Appendix F.

5.2 Massive Components Large components that have terminations with high heat sinking capacity may require longer dwell times to be applied to the dip test (e.g., Tests A, B, C, E, and F) to allow for the slower heat up time. In such cases any increase in dwell time will be AABUS. This agreement must also state the specific dwell time to be used.

5.3 Sampling Plans Sampling plans **shall** identify the number of components to be randomly selected from a given lot. All leads/terminations of the components selected **shall** be tested for solderability. Each lead of a component must pass for the component to pass. The selection and disposition of solderability test specimens **shall** be per the individual component specification. It may be necessary to bend back one out of every two or two out of every three leads for some test methods. These leads would obviously not be counted.

5.4 Correction for Buoyancy For the wetting balance to obtain wetting force values that are relatable to one another, it is necessary to correct for the variability in specimen sizes, in particular width and thickness. This is done by correcting for the volume of the sample immersed in the solder. The following formula may be used to calculate the buoyant force correction:

 $F_b = d (d_1 \text{ or } d_2) g_n V$

where:

 d_1 = Density of solder at 245°C (8110 kg/m³) for Sn60/Pb40 Alloy or (8020 kg/m³) for Sn63/Pb37 Alloy

 d_2 = Density of solder at 245°C (7.41 kg/m³) for SAC305 Alloy

 g_n = Acceleration of gravity (9.810 m/s²)

V = Immersed volume in m^3 (width x thickness x immersion depth)

The calculated buoyant force will be in Newtons and will be normalized for wetted perimeter and expressed as micro-Newtons/mm. As shown in Figures 4-18 and 4-19, using the convention that wetting force is positive upward, all measurements need to be buoyancy corrected for the times as well as the forces to be more accurate.

5.5 Preconditioning Limitations The preconditioning of solderable coatings has been the subject of intense investigation. The electronics industry has successfully employed the use of steam preconditioning for the evaluation of tin and SnPb surface finished component solderability for a number of years. However, the implementation and reformulation of component surface finishes due to environmental legislation resulted in the IPC JSTD 002 committee conducting round-robin testing focused on the qualification of a preconditioning methodology/parameters applicable to all component surface finishes. The round robin testing effort resulted in the default Condition E listed in Table 3-3. The preconditioning methodologies used in this standard are intended for the assessment of component surface finish solderability. Due to the combined effects of specific geometry, storage environment, and process flux formulations, it is not possible to accurately predict process storage life.





Figure A-1 "J" Leaded Components



Figure A-2 Passive Components



Figure A-3 Gull Wing Components



Figure A-4 Leadless Chip Carrier







Figure A-6 Exposed Pad Package



Figure A-7 Bottom-Only Termination Component



Figure A-8 Area Array Component Critical Surface



Figure A-9 Through-Hole Components - Flat Pin



Figure A-10 Through-Hole Components - Round Pin

APPENDIX B Evaluation Aids

B.1 Evaluation Aids for Tests A, C, A1, and C1

B.2 Round Leads The measurement of defects or the estimation of defect area percentage of the lead total surface area, is more difficult with round leads than it is with flat surface rectangular leads. For example, in viewing a cylindrical surface such as a round lead, a round diameter-sized defect when flat appears oval shaped and narrower in width than the visible surface of the lead in the transverse direction.

To aid the solderability test inspector in estimating the lead surface percentage after solderability testing, a guide sheet for different diameter leads is shown in Figure B-3. When 25.4 mm [1.0 in] of the lead surface of a 0.5 mm [0.02 in] diameter lead is inspected for solder coverage, 10 diameter size defects equal 5% of the total lead surface area. Numbers of half diameter size and quarter diameter size defects are also listed. Combinations of these sizes can also be totaled easily (see Figure B-1).

In considering areas not covered by a continuous, new solder coating and referring to the defined defects illustrated in Figure B-2, the visible areas of dewetting and nonwetting are applicable directly.

An example of what constitutes 5% of the dipped area is: six defects of 0.813 mm [0.032 in] diameter in a 25.4 mm [1.0 in] length of a 0.813 mm [0.032 in] diameter (No. 20 AWG) wires (see Figures B-3, B-4, and B-5).



June 2013



Figure B-2 Types of Solderability Defects

B.3 Square Terminations Square terminations **shall** meet the requirements of the solderability coverage guide shown in Figure B-5.

B.4 Castellated Terminations Castellated terminations shall meet the same criterion as round leads.

SINGLE USER LICENSE - NOT FOR USE ON A NETWORK OR ONLINE

EIA/IPC/JEDEC J-STD-002D



Figure B-3 Aids in Evaluation of 5% Allowable Area of Pin Holes



Figure B-4 Aid in Evaluation of 5% Allowable Area of Pin Holes

SINGLE USER LICENSE - NOT FOR USE ON A NETWORK OR ONLINE

EIA/IPC/JEDEC J-STD-002D



Figure B-5 Solderability Coverage Guide

APPENDIX C Calculation of Maximum Theoretical Force

Maximum theoretical force is calculated using the procedure of Klein Wassink.¹

Force (Max. Theoretical) = (γ) (P) (cosine β) - (d)(g)(V) = [0.4P - 0.08V] mN

where:

P = the perimeter of the test specimen in millimeters, i.e., the length in millimeters of the solder/printed board or coupon pad (or hole)/air interface as measured at maximum depth of immersion.

V = The volume in cubic millimeters of the test specimen that resides below the solder/board air interface as measured at the maximum depth of immersion.

- γ = Surface tension of solder = 0.4 mN/mm
- γ = Surface tension of Pb-free solder = 0.5 mN/mm
- α = Immersion angle of the board to the horizontal surface, i.e., $\alpha = 45^{\circ}$
- β = Wetting angle of solder to the board under optimal conditions, i.e., $\beta = 0$ and therefore the cosine $\beta = 1$
- d = Density of solder at 245° C = 8110 kg/m³ for Sn60/Pb40 Alloy or
- d = Density of solder at 245° C = 8020 kg/m³ for Sn60/Pb40 Alloy or
- d = Density of solder at 245° C = 7.41 kg/m³ for SAC305 Alloy
- g = Gravitational constant = $9.8 \times 10^3 \text{ mm/s}^2$

Periphery and volumes are to be calculated using the nominal values provided by the device supplier in the package drawing and the angles and depths of immersion as described in the specification above. The TOTAL periphery and volume, i.e., the sum of all leads being immersed, is to be used in this calculation.

Figure C-1 below depicts a sample calculation for 132 I/O QFP.



Figure C-1 Lead Periphery and Volume for a 132 I/O PQFP

EIA/IPC/JEDEC J-STD-002D

Where:

- w = Lead width (nominal) = 0.254 mm
- t = Lead height (nominal) = 0.1524 mm
- d = Immersion depth = 0.3 mm

1 = Lead length immersed on bottom side at 20° angle and 0.3 mm depth = 0.877 mm

m = Lead length immersed on top side at 20° angle and 0.3 mm depth = 0.458 mm

k = Length of solder/lead/air interface along lead side = 0.446 mm

2k + 2w = Total length per lead of solder periphery = 0.892 + 0.508 = 1.4 mm

P = Total length of periphery per side (33 leads) = 46.2 mm

Hence:

Total volume immersed per lead = $0.254 \times 0.1524 \times 0.458 + 0.5(0.1524 \times 0.254 \times 0.419) = 0.0177 + 0.0081 = 0.0258 \text{ mm}^3$

Therefore for an 132 I/O QFP, the maximum theoretical wetting force is:

For 33 leads (one side of an 132 I/O QFP) = $33 \times 0.0258 \text{ mm}^3 = 0.85$

Maximum Force = $(0.4 \times 46.2) - (0.08 \times 0.85) = 18.41 \text{ mN}$

And, for a part of 46.2 mm total periphery:

Maximum Force per length of interface = 399μ N/mm

The force measured on a part in the Set A criteria must therefore be greater than 9.2 mN or 200 µN/mm at F2.

Note: All forces are referenced to the corrected zero axis and not the zero force line except for the Appendix D calculation (parameter AA).

1. R. J. Klein Wassink, "Soldering in Electronics," 2nd Edition, Electrochemical Publications, Ayr, Scotland, 1989, pp 308-309

APPENDIX D

Calculation of Integrated Value of Area of the Wetting Curve

The area is calculated using the maximum theoretical force (see Figure 4.18 or 4.19). Therefore, the area is given as:

Area = (Wetting force x Time) - (Buoyancy x Time)

Area = (3.0 sec. x Max. Theoretical Force) - 2.0 sec (ρ) (g) V

Area = $(3.0 \text{ sec. x Max. Theoretical Force}) - 2.0 \text{ sec x} - (8.12 \text{ x } 10^{-6} \text{ kg/mm}^3 \text{ x } 9.8 \text{ x } 10^3 \text{ mm/s}^2 \text{ x V})$

The value V is the volume of the test specimen immersed in the solder bath as calculated in Appendix C. The maximum theoretical force is calculated as per Appendix C. The following assumptions are made:

- 1. The maximum buoyancy force holds for the whole two (2) seconds contributing a negative area of: the buoyancy force times 2 seconds.
- 2. The test specimen essentially attains the full maximum theoretical force as it crosses the zero line at 2 seconds and holds that value for the duration of the test, i.e., 3 seconds.
- $V = Total Volume = 0.4 mm^3$

Maximum Theoretical Force = 3.97 mN

Area = $(3.0 \text{ sec. x } 3.97 \text{mN}) - (2.0 \text{ sec. x } 0.08 \text{ (kg/mm}^3 \text{ x mm/s}^2) \text{ x } 0.4 \text{ mm}^3) = 11.91 \text{ mN x seconds} - 0.064 \text{ (kg-mm/sec)}$

Since F = ma, then $mN = kg \times mm/sec^2$ or $kg = mNsec^2/mm$

Area = $11.91 \text{ mN x seconds} - 0.064 \text{ (mNsec}^2/\text{mm) x (mm/sec)}$

Area = 11.91 mN x seconds - 0.064 mN x seconds

Area = 11.85 mN x seconds

APPENDIX E Informative Annex

E.1 TEST EQUIPMENT SOURCES

The equipment sources described below represent those currently known to the industry. Users of this document are urged to submit additional source names as they become available, so that this list can be kept as current as possible.

E.1.1 Tests A, B, C, D, A1, B1, C1, and D1

GEN3 Systems Limited (Formerly Concoat Systems) Unit B2, Armstrong Mall, Southwood Business Park, Farnborough, Hampshire GU14 0NR England. 011 44 12 5252 1500 www.gen3systems.com
HMP Soldermatics, P.O. Box 948, Canon City, CO 81215, (719) 275-1531
Malcomtech 26200 Industrial Blvd, Hayward CA 64545, (510)293-0580, www.malcomtech.com
Reef Engineering, Unit 6, Bancrofts Road, South Woodham Ferrers, Essex CM3 5UQ 01245 328123
Robotic Process Systems Inc., 23301 E. Mission Ave., Liberty Lake, WA 99019, (509)891-1680

E.1.2 Tests E, F, E1 and F1

Convey AB, Harpsundsvagen 113, S-12458 Bandhagen, Sweden 46 (0) 8 99 66 25 GEN3 Systems Limited (Formerly Concoat Systems) Unit B2, Armstrong Mall, Southwood Business Park, Farnborough, Hampshire GU14 0NR England. 011 44 12 5252 1500 www.gen3systems.com Malcomtech 26200 Industrial Blvd, Hayward CA 64545, (510) 293-0580, www.malcomtech.com Metronelec, 54, Route de Sartrouville - Le Montreal 78232 Le PECO Cedex, France (USA Distributor: Solderability Testing and Solutions Inc., 108 Rosedale ave, Richmond KY 40475, (859) 353-5914, www.standsgroup.com Robotic Process Systems Inc., 23301 E. Mission Ave., Liberty Lake, WA 99019, (509)891-1680

E.1.3 Tests G and G1

GEN3 Systems Limited (Formerly Concoat Systems) Unit B2, Armstrong Mall, Southwood Business Park, Farnborough, Hampshire GU14 0NR England. 011 44 12 5252 1500 **www.gen3systems.com** Metronelec, 54, Route de Sartrouville - Le Montreal 78232 Le PECO Cedex, France (USA Distributor: Solderability Testing and Solutions Inc., 108 Rosedale ave, Richmond KY 40475, (859) 353-5914, **www.standsgroup.com**

E.1.4 Steam Conditioning Equipment

H&H Engineering, Inc., 3612 Wood Duck Circle, Stockton, CA 95206 Metronelec, 54, Route de Sartrouville - Le Montreal 78232 Le PECO Cedex, France (USA Distributor: Solderability Testing and Solutions Inc., 108 Rosedale ave, Richmond KY 40475, (859) 353-5914, **www.standsgroup.com** Mountaingate Engineering Inc., Campbell, CA 95008, (408) 866-5100 Robotic Process Systems Inc., 23301 E. Mission Ave., Liberty Lake, WA 99019, (509) 891-1680 Zentek Scientific Systems, 3520 Yale Way, Fremont, CA 94538, (510) 651-1581

E.1.5 Grid Reticles

Bender Associates, 5030 South Mill Avenue, Suite C-2, Tempe, AZ 85252, (602) 820-0900

E.2 TEST FLUX PRODUCT SOURCES

The Test Flux product sources described below represent those currently known to the industry. Users of this document are urged to submit additional product source names as they become available, so that this list can be kept as current as possible. AIM Solder www.aimsolder.com - Standard Flux #1 Product ID: RMA 202-25

Alpha Metals www.alphametals.com - Standard Flux #2

GEN3 Systems Limited www.gen3systems.com - Product ID's: SMNA - Standard Flux #1: Actiec 2 / - Standard Flux #2: Actiec 5

Kester www.kester.com - Standard Flux #1 Product ID: 182

Qualitek International, Inc. www.qualitek.com - Standard Flux #1Product ID: 285-25

Solderability Testing and Solutions Inc. www.wettingbalance.com - Standard test flux 0.2% and Standard test flux 0.5% Ascentech LLC, 127 Goose Hill Rd, Chester CT 06412, tel = 860-526-8903, flux 1 & 2

Revise ST and S, 108 Rosedale Ave, Richmond KY 40475, tel = 8593535914, www.standsgroup.com, flux 1 & 2

APPENDIX F J-STD-002/J-STD-003 Activated Solderability Test Flux Rationale Committee Letter

The current J-STD-002/J-STD-003 specification includes a departure in the test flux methodology used in past solderability testing. The table in 3.2.2 Flux is:

	Composition by Weight Percent	
Constituent	Flux #1	Flux #2
Colophony	25 ± 0.5	25 ± 0.5
Diethylammonium Hydrochloride (CAS 660-68-4)	0.15 ± 0.01	0.39 ± 0.01
Isopropyl Alcohol (IPA) (CAS 67-63-0)	Balance	Balance
Weight of Chlorine as % of Solids	0.2	0.5

Table 3-1 Flux Compositions

The J-STD-002/J-STD-003 committees understood that any proposed change to the use of ROL0 (formerly designated type R) would be heavily scrutinized and would require test data showing the applicability of using a standard activated flux composition. The J-STD-002/J-STD-003 committees have spent significant resources working this flux change issue, discussing the chemistry details and conducting multi-company Design of Experiment investigations. The J-STD-002 committee chairmen, Dave Hillman [Rockwell Collins], Doug Romm [Texas Instruments], Mark Kwoka [Intersil] and Jack McCullen [Intel], feel that the committee has compiled a significant data set and have held thorough topic discussions supporting the proposed flux material change. The four rationales for proposing/supporting the flux change are summarized below:

1) A Proactive Solderability Testing Approach To The Implementation of Non-Tin Finishes

A number of industry studies (1996 NEMI Surface Finishes Task Group Report, 1997 NCMS Pb-free Solder Project, 2000 National Physical Laboratory CMMT (A) 284 Report) have shown that an incompatibility of "R type" flux with non-tin surface finishes such as palladium, organic solderability preservatives (OSPs), and immersion gold. The introduction of these various metallic surface finishes on components and printed wiring boards is no longer the exception but has/is quickly becoming the norm. The use of a "R type" flux containing only naturally occurring activators has resulted in producing "false negative" solderability test results which impact both the component/board fabricator and the assembler negatively in terms of cost and schedule.

2) Reduced Solderability Test Variability

The J-STD-002/003 solderability committees enlisted the assistance of Dr. Carol Handwerker and the resources of the National Institute of Standards & Technology (NIST) to investigate/compare a standard activated flux composition versus the "R type" flux composition. A detailed statistical analysis by Bill Russell [Raytheon Systems] and NIST statisticans revealed the use of a standard activated flux composition greatly reduced the amount of solderability test variation. One of the major goals of the J-STD-002/003 solderability committees is to develop test methods and standards which promote consistency across the industry.

3) Concerns of A Loss of Solderability Assessment Safety Margin

The two major historical rationale for using an "R type" flux: 1) colophony or rosin contains only naturally occurring flux activator constituents and thus is not subjected to the problems/complications of chemistry formulas by the flux supplier; 2) it was an accepted industry acknowledged fact that, if a component or printed wiring board surface was found to have acceptable solderability test results using "R type" flux, then the more active flux formulations used in the assembly process would produce acceptable solder process results. This solderability assessment safety margin was a self-imposed, industry consensus decision. The J-STD-002/003 committees understood the historical relevance behind the decision to use "R type" flux and had an equally strong desire to maintain a solderability flux composition based on the technology improvements in surface finishes, improvements in the flux chemistry formulations from flux suppliers, and the desire to not have excessive safety margins which would impact cost and schedule in a non-value added manner. The committees conducted a number of tests (Wenger, Kwoka, ACI) demonstrating, using a specific standard level of activation on real world, industry supplied component and printed wiring board cases, that the occurrence of a "false acceptable" solderability test result was extremely low. There was no case that exhibited a "pass ROL1 test - fail ROL0

test - Fail during board assembly" sequence. In fact, the use of both ROL1 and ROL0 are more likely to create a "false reject "dip and look solderability test result when compared to board level soldering performance.

4) Standardization of Solderability Test Flux Composition On A Global Scale

A second major goal of the J-STD-002/003 solderability committees is to develop test methods and standards which promote global standardization for the electronics industry. The standard activated flux composition selected and tested by the committees has been utilized in the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) 60068-2-20 Soldering specification. The IEC specification is successfully utilized for solderability testing. Having compatibility of flux composition requirements between the J-STD-002/003 specification and the IEC specifications is a win-win situation for electronics assemblers and component/printed wiring board fabricators.

A number of the major flux chemistry suppliers have been queried on the electronics industry's ability to purchase the standard activated flux composition, and a positive response was received. If you have any questions please contact the IPC Technical Staff to obtain additional answers/clarification.

APPENDIX G Graphical Representations: Progression of Solder Wetting Curve Parameters As Measured By Wetting Balance Testing

Understanding Wetting Curves





Understanding Wetting Curves



Typical Wetting Curve



APPENDIX H

Test Protocol for Wetting Balance Gauge Repeatability and Reproducibility (GR&R) Using Copper Foil Coupons

- 1. All coupons for these tests **shall** be prepared individually just prior to testing. Do NOT batch clean the samples.
- 2. Copper foil of 35 microns nominal thickness ("1 oz." copper) shall be used for the test.
- 3. The copper foil **shall** have NO surface treatment and is expected to have an oxidized appearance upon receipt from the supplier. Do not use the copper foil if it is bright and shiny. This is indicative of surface anti tarnish treatments being used. Surface treatments / preservatives can interfere with the ability to make a consistent "known good coupon" necessary for this test.
- 4. The copper foil coupons **shall** be die cut to ensure repeatability of the samples being tested and **shall** be of the following width dimensions;
 - a. 2 mm
 - b. 5 mm
 - c. 10 mm
- 5. Create a file for each foil width and for each individual person that is involved performing the GR&R.
- 6. Test parameters shall be:
 - a. Solder temperature **shall** be the as recommended for the alloy and the specification being used (i.e., for SnPb and ANSI-J-STD-003), it **shall** be 235 °C, for ANSI-J-STD-002, it **shall** be 245 °C. For SAC 305, it **shall** be 255 °C, regardless of the specification.
 - b. Immersion depth shall be 0.4 mm.
 - c. Immersion speed shall be 2 mm/sec.
 - d. Dwell time in the solder **shall** be 10 seconds.
 - e. Immersion angle shall be 90 degrees incident to the solder.
 - f. No preheat shall be used.
- 7. Sample preparation for the "known good coupon" shall be as follows:
 - a. Use a tweezers to immerse a foil sample into a beaker of acetone and gently agitate for 20 seconds.
 - b. Remove sample and blot both sides dry with lint free cloth or other suitable lab tissue.
 - c. Again using a tweezers, immerse the above sample into a 20% v/v nitric acid/DI water solution and gently agitate for 20 seconds.
 - d. Immerse the sample immediately into DI water and gently agitate for 20 seconds.
 - e. Blot the sample dry as in step "b" above.
- 8. Dip sample into the "standard activated flux" normally used for solderability testing for 5 seconds.
- 9. Holding the samples vertically, blot to remove excess flux.
- 10. Place sample into tool holder.
- 11. Run the test.
- 12. Repeat ten times for each foil width and each test person. It is recommended that three people should be used for the GR&R study.
- 13. For ease of data manipulation, it is recommended to convert the wetting forces obtained into mN/mm of the coupon's wettable length (perimeter). For example, the 10 mm wide coupon has a total wettable length (perimeter) = $[(2 \times 10 \text{ mm}) + (2 \times 0.035 \text{ mm})] = 20.07 \text{ mm}.$
- 14. For the "standard activated" flux of nominal 0.2% activation, the wetting force used for the calculations **shall** be 0.31 mN/mm. If a more active flux is being used, a large sample **shall** be run to obtain the mean value and this used for the calculations.

- 15. Calculate the standard deviation for each of the foil widths and the people running the test.
- 16. Multiply the standard deviation value by 6 (this represents the plus minus 3 standard deviations of a normal distribution).
- 17. Divide this number by 0.31 and multiply by 100 to obtain a percentage value.
- 18. Tabulate the three values per person.
- 19. For an acceptable GR&R, the values obtained should be below 10%.
- 20. There should be excellent R&R results with the 10 mm coupon the first time this protocol is performed with an increasing spread from test person to test person when using the smaller coupons. The test may need to be repeated or individuals may require some "practice time" prior to running the full GR&R.
- 21. In addition to testing the individual, this protocol also tests the machine and will show linearity and any bias if it exists. Because the wetting forces have been normalized to mN/mm, the readings for each coupon width should be the same. If they are clearly different but the standard deviations produced by the individual test people are below 10%, then there is a problem with the wetting balance and the equipment manufacturer should be notified.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Association Connecting Electronics Industries



Standard Improvement Form

The purpose of this form is to provide the Technical Committee of IPC with input from the industry regarding usage of the subject standard. Individuals or companies are invited to submit comments to IPC. All comments will be collected and dispersed to the appropriate committee(s).

EIA/IPC/JEDEC J-STD-002D

If you can provide input, please complete this form and return to: IPC 3000 Lakeside Drive, Suite 309S Bannockburn, IL 60015-1249 Fax 847 615.7105 E-mail: answers@ipc.org

1. I recommend changes to the following:

____ Requirement, paragraph number _____

____ Test Method number _____, paragraph number _____

The referenced paragraph number has proven to be:

- ____ Unclear ____ Too Rigid ____ In Error
- ___ Other ___

2. Recommendations for correction:

3. Other suggestions for document improvement:

Submitted by:	
Name	Telephone
Company	E-mail
Address	
City/State/Zip	Date

Association Connecting Electronics Industries

SINGLE USER LICENSE - NOT FOR USE ON A NETWORK OR ONLINE



3000 Lakeside Drive, Suite 309 S Bannockburn, IL 60015 847-615-7100 **tel** 847-615-7105 **fax** www.**ipc**.org

ISBN #978-1-61193-089-4